# The Solar X-ray Corona

Paola Testa \* and Fabio Reale

Abstract The X-ray emission from the Sun reveals a very dynamic hot atmosphere, the corona, which is characterized by a complex morphology and broad range of timescales of variability and spatial structuring. The solar magnetic fields play a fundamental role in the heating and structuring of the solar corona. Increasingly higher quality X-ray solar observations with high spatial (down to subarcsec) and temporal resolution provide fundamental information to refine our understanding of the solar magnetic activity and of the underlying physical processes leading to the heating of the solar outer atmosphere. Here we provide a brief historical overview of X-ray solar observations and we summarize recent progress in our understanding of the solar corona as made possible by state-of-the-art current X-ray observations.

# Keywords

Solar Physics – Solar Atmosphere – Solar Activity – Solar Flares – Solar Corona – High-Energy Astrophysics – Plasma Astrophysics – X-ray Astronomy – Magnetohydrodynamics (MHD) – Solar Radiation

Paola Testa

Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, 60 Garden St, Cambridge, MA 02193, USA, email: ptesta@cfa.harvard.edu

Fabio Reale

Dipartimento di Fisica e Chimica, Università di Palermo, Piazza del Parlamento 1, 90134 Palermo, Italy, and INAF-Osservatorio Astronomico di Palermo, Piazza del Parlamento 1, 90134 Palermo, Italy, e-mail: fabio.reale@unipa.it

<sup>\*</sup> corresponding author

### 1. Introduction

Observations of solar eclipses, in visible light, allowed occasional glimpses of the solar corona. Spectroscopic observations during eclipses detected a bright green optical line, initially tentatively assigned to a new element, "coronium", but in the 20th century it was finally correctly interpreted as a line emitted by highly ionized iron (Fe XIV [91, 63]) and therefore it was recognized that the solar corona is extremely hot ( $\geq 10^6$  K). The hot plasma in the solar atmosphere primarily emits at high energies (X-ray and extreme-ultraviolet [EUV]), which are however very efficiently absorbed by the terrestrial atmosphere therefore rendering ground observations at those short wavelengths infeasible. So it was only with the advent of space astronomy and in particular X-ray observations, from the 1960s onward, first with rocket experiments and then with satellite-based observatories (e.g., [22, 61, 93, 231, 1]), that the nature of the solar outer atmosphere could be properly investigated (see e.g., [83]).

The first X-ray imaging observations of the Sun, carried out with pin-hole cameras on-board rocket flights, were characterized by limited spatial resolution (arcmins), and nevertheless immediately uncovered the highly structured spatial distribution of the high-energy emission (e.g., [22, 24, 183]). The X-ray emission was in fact observed to have significantly enhanced emission in small regions, which were co-spatial with  $H_{\alpha}$  and Ca K plages, and with radio emission (e.g., [24, 183]). Higher spatial resolution (down to few arcsecs) and sensitivity in X-ray astronomical observations were achieved with grazing incidence optics, focusing high energy photons using coaxial and confocal surfaces (e.g., [77, 78, 79]; Figure 1). The higher resolution provided further convincing evidence of the connection between magnetic active regions (ARs hereafter) and coronal features with brighter X-ray emission (see e.g., [50, 82] for early reviews). Furthermore, the hot coronal plasma appeared to be mostly confined in closed-loop features connecting regions of opposite polarity (e.g., [229, 230]), tracing the three-dimensional configuration of the magnetic field in the corona ([117]). These early observations highlighted the fundamental role of the solar magnetic fields in shaping and heating the corona. Plasma diagnostics based on X-ray spectroscopy (e.g., [23, 24, 72, 64, 65, 73, 74, 61]), or on analysis of X-ray imaging observations (ratios of images in two X-ray passbands, e.g., [230]) provided early determinations of plasma properties of the coronal plasma, such as temperature and density, necessary to constrain early models of coronal structures (e.g., [182]).

The rich rocket program of the pioneering early age of solar X-ray astronomy produced a wealth of X-ray solar observations that engendered a rapid progress in the understanding of the solar corona. However, rocket experiments observed only for a few minutes, and therefore only with instrumentation onboard satellites, starting with the Skylab mission ([232]), it became possible to obtain long time series of X-ray observations and investigate the temporal variability of the solar coronal features on longer timescales (from hours to days; e.g., [84]). These observations unveiled a scenario of a solar corona characterized by highly dynamic and spatially structured coronal features, even in the "quiet Sun", conclusively dispelling the idea



**Fig. 1** Early solar X-ray observations illustrating the rapid progress of X-ray imaging techniques from 1963 to 1973: (a) first image of solar X-ray emission made with a grazing incidence telescope (October 15 1963); (b) image from March 17 1965, with  $\sim$  30" resolution; (c) image from June 8 1968 (these data are discussed in [230]); (d) image from April 8 1969, in which X-ray bright points start being resolved; (e) image from November 4 1969, also detecting a flare at east limb; (f) X-ray image shortly after fourth contact of the solar eclipse of March 7 1970; (g) image from November 24 1970; (h) image from March 8 1973, obtained from the first successful flight of an X-ray telescope with higher efficiency. (Image adapted from Figure 1 of [230])

of a "quiet homogeneous corona" [229]. The observed coronal structures encompass (see Figure 2 for an illustration) "quiescent coronal structures", with relatively steady or slowly varying X-ray emission, and "flares", highly dynamic events during which the X-ray emission can increase by orders of magnitude on timescales of seconds, and which are accompanied by significant restructuring of the magnetic field configuration over short timescales, as well as, not uncommonly, by coronal mass ejections (CMEs; see section 4). The quiescent (non-flaring) corona includes, quiet Sun (QS; section 2), coronal holes (CHs, regions where the solar magnetic field extends into interplanetary space as an open field, and which are characterized by cooler and less dense coronal plasma, and diffuse and weak X-ray emission; section 2), coronal bright points (CBP, initially named X-ray bright points, XBP, which are small regions in QS with increased X-ray emission; section 2), active regions (ARs; regions with increased coronal temperature and density, and, in turn, X-ray emission, and which are associated with strong and complex photospheric magnetic field; section 3), as well as coronal features interconnecting ARs. In the quiescent corona outside of ARs (e.g., QS, CHs) the typical observed temperatures are of the order of  $\sim 10^6$  K, and the coronal densities of the order of a few  $\sim 10^8$  cm<sup>-3</sup>. In coronal emission of ARs the temperatures on average reach values of  $\sim 4 \times 10^6$  K ([241]), and the densities are typically  $\sim 10^8$ - $10^9$  cm<sup>-3</sup>, although localized heating events lead to short-lived temperature and density increases (up to  $\sim 10$  MK and  $\sim 10^{10}$  cm<sup>-3</sup> respectively; e.g., [175, 218]). Large flares can reach temperatures of several tens of MK and high densities of  $\sim 10^{12}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>, and have duration ranging from minutes to several hours (see e.g., [20] for a recent review).

#### Paola Testa and Fabio Reale



**Fig. 2** Full disk images of the Hinode X-ray Telescope (in the Ti\_poly filter; see [87] for details), characterized by  $\sim 1$ " pixels, for two times about 5 years apart and showing very different X-ray emission properties at different phases of the solar activity cycle. The XRT images illustrate the variety of X-ray solar features as well as the significant variation of the X-ray emission during the activity cycle. The Quiet Sun image (November 2007, *left*) shows diffuse emission, enhanced emission in small coronal Bright Points (CBP), and dark regions or Coronal Holes (CH, here mostly close to the Poles). The active Sun image (June 2012, *right*) shows bright emission in Active Regions (ARs; a dozen AR are present in this image), where most of the X-ray emitting plasma is confined by the magnetic field in loop-like arches (including loops connecting different ARs), CBPs (although partly obscured by the AR emission), and CH. (Images adapted from XRT Picture Of the Week archive, https://xrt.cfa.harvard.edu/xpow/20120915.html)

Solar X-ray observations show that the structure and dynamics of the solar corona is dominated by the magnetic field. The brightest high-energy emission mainly originates from plasma which is: (a) confined by the magnetic field in loop-like structures connecting regions of different magnetic field polarity, and (b) characterized by high temperature ( $\geq 1$  MK), low density ( $\leq 10^9$  cm<sup>-3</sup>), and therefore highly ionized and an efficient heat conductor. The importance of the magnetic field for the coronal activity is also highlighted by the variations of the X-ray emission with the phases of the solar 11-yr magnetic cycle ([103, 36]) as shown for example in Figure 2. The importance of the magnetic field for coronal activity is clear for the Sun (e.g., [247, 158, 32]), and strong evidence is provided by observations of other stars that X-ray coronae are associated with magnetic fields. In fact, strikingly, a power-law relation is observed between X-ray luminosity and magnetic flux over many orders of magnitude, ranging from solar quiet-regions, through active regions, to dwarf and T-Tauri stars ([70, 159]).

These observations prompted the key question of how the outer solar atmosphere is heated to such high temperatures of millions of degrees. The issue of the coronal heating is important not only in the context of solar and stellar physics, but also because of the fundamental role of the solar high-energy emission on the Earth's atmosphere, and, analogously, to understand the effect of stellar X-ray and EUV emission on exoplanetary atmospheres (e.g., [122, 181, 153, 185]). The magneto-convective energy at the underlying photosphere is clearly the source powering the corona, but the details of the processes converting it to heat of the corona are still under investigation. The shuffling of the photospheric footpoints of the coronal magnetic field lines, due to the convective motion of the plasma at and below the photosphere, can generate atmospheric heating mainly via two general mechanisms: (1) production of MHD (Alfvén) waves, that get transported to and dissipated in the corona ([3]), and (2) magnetic stresses building current sheets, dissipated via magnetic reconnection events ("nanoflares"; [151], having typical total energies  $\sim 9$  orders of magnitude smaller than large flares). There is observational evidence for the presence of both processes, although their relative importance is not well established as well as whether different coronal features are dominated by different mechanisms. Advances in computational power allow ever more sophisticated simulations to model these processes, including e.g., 3D radiative MHD models of the solar atmosphere from the convection zone up to the corona, which self-consistently produce a hot atmosphere (primarily via dissipation of currents, e.g., [92, 98, 180]), and e.g., a 3D reduced MHD model for the propagation and dissipation of Alfvén waves in a coronal loop ([233, 234]). Another intriguing mystery of the solar corona is its anomalous chemical composition, at odds with the underlying photospheric abundances, as observed since the earliest spectroscopic coronal studies. The coronal plasma, in fact, is often characterized by higher abundances of elements with low first ionization potential (FIP) compared to the underlying photosphere (e.g., [66, 67]). This chemical fractionation is expected to occur in the chromosphere, where low-FIP and high-FIP elements are differently ionized, and is likely associated with the heating mechanism, therefore providing additional clues and constraints to heating models (see e.g., reviews by [208, 217, 121] and references therein). We note that also the coronae of other stars are typically characterized by abundance anomalies, compared to their photospheric composition, although their abundance patterns display a wide range of variation from solar-like FIP effect for low to intermediate activity start to inverse FIP effect (i.e., with coronal depletion of low-FIP elements) for high activity stars (see e.g., [208, 217], and Drake & Stelzer in this handbook). There are a number of observational obstacles that hamper progress in our understanding of the coronal heating and chemical fractionation processes, including the small (unresolvable) spatial scales characterizing the energy release, and the fact that the whole atmosphere is a complex coupled system with both energy and mass transferred in both directions between the corona and the lower thin atmospheric layer formed by chromosphere and transition region (see e.g., [112, 152, 217, 55], for reviews).

The importance of these open issues, in our astronomical backyard, motivated the several satellite based X-ray observatories<sup>2</sup> that allowed going beyond the early discoveries, including:

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  See e.g., [12] for a more detailed list of solar coronal instrumentation, also including other wavelengths, such as the extreme-ultraviolet, ultraviolet and visible, which we are mostly not discussing in this overview.



Fig. 3 This multi-color full disk solar image combines images obtained by several high-energy observatories: the NuSTAR observatory (*blue*; this image shows the hard X-ray emission in the  $\sim$  2-6 KeV range), the Hinode X-ray Telescope (*green*; shows soft X-ray emission between 0.2 and 2.4 keV), and the Atmospheric Imaging Assembly onboard the Solar Dynamics Observatory (*yellow* and *red*; the AIA passbands shown here, 171Å and 193Å, detect EUV emission typically emitted by plasma around  $\sim$  0.8 MK and  $\sim$  1.5 MK respectively). This composite image nicely shows how the higher energy emission is generally confined to the bright ARs. (Image from https://www.nasa.gov/jpl/pia19821/nustar-stares-at-the-sun. See also [90].)

- SkyLab (1973 1979), equipped with grazing-incidence X-ray telescopes, EUV/UV spectrographs [231];
- the Solar Maximum Mission (SMM, 1980, 1984-1989), which included γ-ray, hard and soft X-ray spectrometers, as well as an X-ray imager; [1];
- Yohkoh (1991–2001), which included hard and soft X-ray telescopes, as well as spectrometers at γ-ray, hard and soft X-ray wavelengths [144];
- CORONAS-I (1994; [199]), CORONAS-F (2001-2005; [256]), CORONAS-PHOTON (2009, [120]);

The Solar X-ray Corona

- the Hinode X-ray Telescope (2006–present; [87]);
- the Atmospheric Imaging Assembly (AIA; [125]) onboard the Solar Dynamics Observatory (SDO, 2010-present); note that AIA mostly observes in the EUV, and its passbands at shorter wavelengths in soft-X-ray, at 94Å and 131Å, are mainly sensitive to hot plasma at 5-10 MK.

Although here we mostly focus on soft X-rays, several observatories – such as e.g., RHESSI (2002-2018;[126]), the FOXSI sounding rockets (2012, [119]; 2014, [41]), NuSTAR (2012-present; [100] <sup>3</sup>) – have recently provided solar observations at hard-X-ray energies (above  $\sim 5 - 10$  keV), which are important to study the highest energy phenomena, including particle acceleration to relativistic speeds, especially prominent in flares. Figure 3 shows an example of composite of hard X-ray, soft X-ray, and EUV imaging observations obtained with different observatories. Saint-Hilaire et al. (in this handbook) discuss hard X-ray and Gamma-Ray of solar flares.

High-resolution X-ray spectroscopy is of key importance to solve the coronal heating mystery, providing unique diagnostics of plasma temperature, density, dynamic (large scale flows, turbulence), chemical composition (see e.g., [57] for a review) not accessible to imaging observations. Although a wealth of spectral observations of the solar corona exist, the vast majority of them have focused on either relatively low temperature ( $\sim 3$  MK) emission, e.g., the Hinode/EIS (Extreme ultraviolet Imaging Spectrometer [48]) and the Interface Region Imaging Spectrograph (IRIS; [53]), or on very high temperature emission ( $\gtrsim 10$  MK) mostly observed in large flares. The 5-10 MK temperature regime, most relevant to study the heating process, is however poorly covered by present and past solar coronal spectrometers (see e.g., [59]), whereas astrophysical observatories such as *Chandra* and *XMM-Newton* have provided, as discussed at length in the next chapters (Drake & Stelzer; Schneider et al.; Sciortino; Kastner & Principe; all in this handbook), excellent coverage of these temperatures via high-resolution spectroscopy of stellar coronae in the soft X-ray range ( $\sim 1-170$ Å).

Indeed, parallel efforts in X-ray astronomy have provided high quality highenergy observations of stars, that have enabled comparing and contrasting the X-ray properties of the Sun with other stars and funded the basis of the solar-stellar connection (refer to other book chapters; and other refs e.g., [209, 217]). Understanding stellar magnetic activity is of crucial importance also due to the key role magnetic fields play in several aspects of stellar astrophysics, ranging from the formation and evolution of stars and planets, controlling angular momentum loss, and orbital decay and evolution in close binary systems.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Note however that NuSTAR is an astrophysical observatory, not designed to observe the Sun, and not suitable for observations of bright solar sources such as flares or even bright ARs

### 2. Quiet Sun, Coronal Bright Points, Coronal Holes

The quiet corona (*quiet sun*, QS) is significantly less X-ray bright than active regions, and, at modest spatial resolution, appears to be characterized by a more diffuse nature and structures smaller than typical AR loops. In QS, which is devoid of sunspots, the underlying photospheric fields have mixed polarity and are characterized by a reticular pattern of strong fields (*magnetic network*, tracing the downdrafts of flows at the boundaries of convective supergranular cells) and weaker field *internetwork* regions in between them ([19]). Even in these quiet regions the temporal variability of EUV emission suggests that the QS corona is impulsively heated ([227]).

Small bipolar regions in QS give rise to coronal bright points (CBP). CBPs are scaled-down ( $\leq 60^{\circ}$ ) and shorter lived ( $\leq 1 \text{ day}$ ) versions of ARs, with intense emission in the X-ray and EUV wavelength ranges, and composed of small-scale loops connecting magnetic flux concentrations of opposite polarities ([130] and references therein). The evolution of the X-ray emission of CBPs shows a rapid growth and a slow decay, with a diffuse emission preceding the appearance of the bright core ([84, 140]). The average growth rate ( $\sim 5$ " per hour, or  $\sim 1 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ) is similar to the horizontal velocity of supergranulation cells suggesting a strong connection of CBPs with supergranular evolution/flows ([84]). Contrary to ARs, which are confined to a belt of active latitudes (within  $\sim \pm 40^{\circ}$  from the solar equator), the CBPs are observed at all latitudes. Up to several hundreds of CBPs are present on the solar disk in a day ([4]), although a subset of them are only visible at EUV wavelengths and not in X-rays due to a broad range of temperature distributions in CBPs ([130]). Several transient phenomena, such as microflaring (e.g., [201]), coronal jets (e.g., [31, 167]) and mini-CMEs (e.g., [140]) are observed in CBPs. The variation of CBPs during the solar cycle was studied with Skylab data and their number was found to anticorrelate with the number of sunspots ([49, 85]). On the basis of a more recent analysis of data with the Soft X-ray Telescope (SXT) [221] onboard Yohkoh it was however concluded that this anticorrelation is largely an artifact of the contrast with the soft X-ray intensity of the background corona and the obscuring effect of ARs ([99]). In fact, Hara et al. [99] find that the number density of observed CBPs is largely independent on the solar activity cycle, but in dark areas (such as CHs) the CBPs number anticorrelates with the sunspot cycle and in the activity belt it correlates with it, suggesting a different mechanism of formation for CBPs in CHs. More recently McIntosh et al. [134] suggested that small-scale magnetic flux emergence activity associated to CBPs is related to and could be used to forecast the solar cycle.

*Coronal Holes* (CH) are large-scale coronal features appearing as extended dark areas in X-ray images. Their underlying photospheric magnetic fields are more uniform and unipolar than other solar regions, and nearly all the open magnetic flux on the Sun – and the high-speed solar wind flowing outwards into the heliosphere along open magnetic field lines – originates in CHs ([118, 46, 101, 158]). The spatial distribution of CHs is observed to vary as a function of the solar activity cycle. Polar coronal holes, prominent for several years around solar minimum, tend to disappear around solar maximum (see e.g., Figure 2) – with a lack of any distinct presence 1-2

#### The Solar X-ray Corona

yrs at solar maximum, and the gradual reappearance of polar CHs in the declining phase soon after solar maximum, as several high-latitude CHs start collecting at the poles (e.g., [219, 102]). On the other hand, very large meridian coronal holes can appear at medium latitudes during periods of high activity, as shown on the right of Fig. 2. A very famous CH with a vague shape of a boot was observed to be steady for several solar rotations during the Skylab mission <sup>4</sup>. Coronal plasma in CHs is characterized by elemental abundances close to photospheric abundances, in contrast to QS and ARs in which the coronal plasma typically shows a significant enhancement in the corona of the abundances of elements with low first ionization potential (FIP) compared to the underlying photosphere. These abundance anomalies also provide useful diagnostics to trace the flows of solar wind from the solar origin into the heliosphere (e.g., [240, 259, 28]).

Albeit Yohkoh/SXT provided good observations of the high temperature emission from the quiet corona, its successor, Hinode/XRT has provided a much improved view of several coronal phenomena, especially in the quiet corona, due to its higher spatial resolution, temporal cadence, and broader temperature coverage (extending its sensitivity to lower coronal temperatures, ~ 1 MK). A case in point are X-ray jets which XRT has observed to occur frequently in coronal holes (CHs) and quiet Sun (QS) including polar regions, and indicated that these jets are characterized by plasma velocity, temperature and density of the order of ~ 160 km s<sup>-1</sup>, ~ 1 - 2 MK, and a few 10<sup>8</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup> respectively (e.g., [188, 198, 43, 149]). XRT has elucidated the details of the magnetic reconnection process producing these jets (see e.g., [105] for a review). The XRT observations of coronal jets also allow to investigate whether they might be significant contributors to the coronal heating of QS and to the solar wind, and suggest their contributions is limited (e.g., [149, 253, 184]).

Another significant contribution of Hinode/XRT observations is its continuous monitoring of the full disk X-ray solar emission over more than a solar cycle. In fact, Hinode/XRT has regularly performed synoptic observations in different filters (therefore also allowing temperature diagnostic) providing a very valuable archive of monitoring (over about 15 yrs) of the properties of the full Sun X-ray emission with high temporal cadence (typically higher than daily). These data are perfectly suitable for studies of the solar activity cycle, and for solar-stellar connections (see also e.g., [217]). Adithya et al. (2021) [2] used XRT full Sun observations over 13 years (2007-2020) to study the variability of the solar X-ray irradiance, and investigate the contribution of different solar features (AR, CH, QS, XBP) to it. They find that QS and AR emission has the greatest impact on the observed fluctuations of the solar irradiance. They find that the QS emission varies with the cycle, undermining the idea of a constant background X-ray emission (see also, [111], and [255] on the cyclic variation of QS emission from the low chromosphere; and, e.g., [254] for a study of irradiance variability in EUV and UV). Detailed investigations of the solar irradiance in high energy (X-ray/EUV) are also very relevant to the understanding of the effects of stellar radiation on escape of exoplanetary atmospheres (e.g., [104]).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> http://soi.stanford.edu/results/SolPhys200/Hudson/2000/001020/001020.html

#### 3. Active Regions

While the coronal emission of QS is often confined to lower temperatures ( $\sim 1$  MK), active regions (ARs) typically contain plasma at a variety of temperatures up to several MK (see e.g., [169] for a review). ARs are characterized by a typical evolution showing rapidly increasing high temperature emission and variability in the first few days from first emergence, followed by a longer decay during which the AR spreads out and its core temperature and variability of emission systematically decreases ([235, 58]). There is some evidence that this evolution is also accompanied by a significant change of chemical fractionation of the coronal plasma (e.g., [246, 208, 16, 17]).

Warm AR loops (~ 1 MK), typically observed outside the AR core and especially clear in the cool EUV emission (see Figure 4; see also e.g., [105] and references therein), are characterized by a narrow temperature distribution. High temperature emission is observed in the AR core (see Figure 4): the temperature distribution in the AR cores is often strongly peaked around 4 MK, and the amount of high temperature plasma appears to be correlated with the total unsigned magnetic flux (e.g., [241]). Emission from even hotter plasma (T  $\geq$  5 MK), even in heating events ("nanoflares" to "microflares") smaller than large flares (the next section will discuss flares in details) is observed to be highly transient (e.g., [224, 225, 210, 215, 223, 89, 226, 175, 218, 211]), and typically a few of these brightenings per hour are observed in an AR (e.g., [223]).

High spatial and temporal resolution coronal observations are necessary to pinpoint the physical mechanisms responsible for coronal heating. High-resolution Xray observations, with Hinode/XRT and the short wavelength bands of SDO/AIA (94Å, and 131Å), over a large AR-sized field of view (and for AIA over the full disk, and continuously at 12s cadence), provide, together with coronal spectral diagnostics provided e.g., by Hinode/EIS, important constraints on theories of coronal heating for the hotter portion of AR coronal plasma. Viable coronal heating models predict energy release on small spatial and temporal scales (see e.g., reviews by [112, 113, 169]), and evidence of impulsive heating is provided by coronal observations (e.g., [207, 215, 216, 237, 238, 175, 218]); it is however not well established whether heating events typically repeat over timescales shorter than the loops cooling times (i.e., heating is effectively steady), or instead with low frequency (i.e., significant cooling occurs in between heating events). Several coronal observables have been analyzed and modeled to gain insights into the heating of the AR cores, such as e.g., the properties, and in particular the slopes, of the thermal emission measure distribution (e.g., [114, 33, 212, 241, 25, 34, 18]). The presence of a faint high temperature component is another important heating diagnostic, and one of the main predictions of impulsive nanoflare heating. It has been investigated using imaging observations (e.g., [174, 190]) also in combination with spectral data (e.g., [136, 115, 204, 213, 210, 138, 157, 29, 109, 15]), and the observations are typically compatible with such a low level hot emission (2-4 orders of magnitude lower than the emission measure peak at 2-4 MK), which however is often close to the detection limits of current instrumentation. An accurate determination of these subtle



**Fig. 4** This 3-color image combines SDO/AIA observations of AR 11289 (on September 13 2011, around 19UT) in 3 EUV narrow passbands: 171Å (green; dominated by Fe IX emission mostly emitted by ~ 1 MK plasma), 335Å (blue; with a strong Fe XVI line emitted prominently around 2-3 MK), and 94Å (red; with a hot Fe XVIII line emitted at T $\gtrsim$  5 MK; see e.g., [210]). The field of view shown here is about 400" × 250" (i.e., roughly 290 × 180 Mm). These data clearly show the presence of cooler (green loops) close to the edges of the AR, and hotter material (blue loops and diffuse emission; 2-4 MK) in the AR core, with additional hottest emission (red loops;  $\gtrsim$  5 MK) which is highly dynamic (e.g., [223, 215, 211]).

properties of the thermal distribution of the AR plasma is in fact somewhat difficult because of the limitations of observations and inversion methods (e.g., [214, 249]), as well as intrinsic characteristics of the coronal plasma including for instance efficient conduction and non-equilibrium effects (e.g., [171]) that drastically limit the emission of the hot plasma in the initial phases heating events.

Higher spatio-temporal resolution observations of the solar corona, in particular at sub-arcsec spatial resolution (e.g., with the rocket experiments Normal Incidence X-ray Telescope, NIXT, [86], and High-resolution Coronal imager, Hi-C, [116]), have shown that, although the heating release is expected to happen on much smaller scales, coronal loops typically appear to have a coherence on spatial scales of the order of  $\sim 0.3$ -0.5" (e.g., [6, 27, 14, 248]). These rocket experiments have also revealed, thanks to their unprecedented resolution, previously unobserved coronal features, such as e.g., the "moss", i.e., the high-temperature transition region (TR) of hot loops ([155, 52, 71]), or the appearance of braiding of magnetic loops ([42]). High resolution EUV observations with EUI onboard Solar Orbiter are providing interesting new observations of quiescent small-scale dynamic coronal features (e.g.,[21]). Another notable new result made possible by subarcsecond observations of the solar atmosphere is the detection of fast and bursty "nanojets", which are a direct observational signature of reconnection-driven nanoflares [7]. These nanojets were observed in the cool (transition region) UV emission with IRIS, and the lack



**Fig. 5** 3-color image combining SDO/AIA EUV observations and IRIS (Interface Region Imaging Spectrograph; [53]) FUV slit-jaw imaging observations of AR 11890 on November 9 2013, around 12:15UT (same data analyzed by [216]): IRIS 1400Å transition region (TR) emission (green; dominated by Si IV emission mostly emitted by ~ 0.1 MK plasma; the black vertical line is the IRIS slit where FUV and NUV spectral data are obtained), AIA 171Å (blue; dominated by Fe IX emission mostly emitted by ~ 1 MK plasma), and AIA 94Å (red; with a hot Fe XVIII line emitted at T $\gtrsim$  5 MK). The field of view shown here is about 115" × 115" (i.e., roughly 83 × 83 Mm). These data clearly show brightenings in the cooler TR emission (green and blue) at the footpoints of dynamic hot (~ 10 MK) loops (red). Both the hot coronal emission and the TR emission are highly transient, with TR emission brightenings typically lasting ~ 10 – 60s ([215, 216, 218]).

of coronal observations at similar resolution prevents us from investigating these magnetic reconnection features in hotter coronal loops.

The study of the moss TR emission provides alternative diagnostics of coronal heating to overcome some of the above described obstacles in detecting heating events directly in the corona. The location of the heating is debated (see e.g., [169] for a detailed review of observational evidence for footpoint vs. looptop heating) – but, even if energy is released in the corona, the immediate response of the coronal

plasma is often too difficult to detect, as discussed earlier in this section, and the hot plasma (e.g., pink/red loops in Fig. 4, and Fig. 5) is typically observed mostly in the cooling phase. The response of the narrow and dense TR layer of the loops to the heating events is instead readily observable as the TR undergoes rapid and intense brightenings that can be easily detected and studied. Initial observations of the moss variability showed typically low temporal variability (e.g., [5, 26, 220]), and they were interpreted as an evidence of steady (high frequency) heating. However, the high spatio-temporal cadence of the Hi-C rocket observations have revealed the presence of highly variable moss at the footpoints of transient hot loops [215]. These results suggest that the insufficient combined spatial and temporal resolution of the observations is one of the reasons for the previous lack of evidence of significant moss variability (see also [89]). In fact, the IRIS ([53]) high spatial ( $\leq 0.4$ ") and temporal (down to  $\sim 1$  s) resolution has allowed to detect, at cooler TR temperatures, many of this type of footpoint brightenings associated with heating and typically observed simultaneously at both loop footpoints (e.g., [216, 218]; see example in Fig. 5). IRIS provides also powerful additional diagnostics thanks to its spectral observations that also reveal the TR plasma velocities, which combined with coronal observations (XRT and AIA) and state-of-the-art modeling constrain the properties of the heating and of the energy transport (e.g., [216, 162, 218]). The coronal observations indicate that these impulsively heated AR core loops typically reach temperatures of  $\sim 10$  MK, and their morphology suggests that these hotter loops might arise as a consequence of large-angle magnetic reconnection in the corona ([175, 218]; although in a few events a possible alternative scenario of energy release due to flux cancellation has been proposed; [165, 40]), i.e., more significant magnetic rearrangements than the typically assumed small-angle reconnection Parker scenario for nanoflares ([151]).

The TR observations reveal for several of the observed events signatures of the presence of accelerated (non-thermal) electrons (NTE)), similar to what is observed in large flares (see also next section), and allow to constrain the properties of the NTE distribution. Non-thermal particles, accelerated to relativistic speeds during the magnetic reconnection process, are primarily studied using hard X-ray observations (e.g., with RHESSI; [126]) which can detect the radiation directly emitted by the NTE. The observed hard X-ray emission from NTE is generally compatible with a single (or double) power-law, implying a power-law for the electron flux distribution as well, with power-law index  $\delta$  and a low-energy cutoff  $E_{\rm C}$ . Although direct observations of NTE in hard X-rays remain of fundamental importance to study their properties, these new indirect diagnostics of NTE in small events, based on spectral observations of footpoint (TR) emission, are of particular interest because (1) they are sensitive to very small energy events (nanoflares) which are typically inaccessible to hard X-ray observations due to the very low emission level close to or below the sensitivity threshold of hard X-ray observatories; and because (2) they can constrain the low-energy cutoff  $(E_{\rm C})$  of the NTE power-law distributions, which is poorly constrained by hard X-ray spectra because of the overlap of thermal and non-thermal spectra.

The small heating events (nano- to micro-flares) observed in the ARs core in many respects appear like scaled-down versions of large flares with high temperatures (up to ~ 10 MK, [175, 176, 81, 218, 211, 44]), abundances closer to photospheric ([243], as often observed for larger flares), presence of NTE although often with smaller low-energy cutoff and steeper slopes ( $E_C \sim 5 - 15$  keV,  $\delta \gtrsim 7$ ; [94, 216, 250, 218, 81, 45]) than observed for large flares. For these small events is often difficult to detect the hard X-ray emission of NTE ([95, 96, 80, 44, 239]), even with the astrophysical observatory NuSTAR ([100]) and the FOXSI rocket experiments which have higher sensitivity than RHESSI, because of the presence of a dominant thermal component and, for NuSTAR, instrumental limitations largely preventing it from observing the active Sun.

### 4. Solar Flares and Coronal Mass Ejections

Solar flares are the brightest X-ray events in the solar system. They are powerful explosions occurring in the solar corona, and they last from a few minutes to several hours. Their intensity spans several orders of magnitude, and the largest ones can easily exceed the luminosity of the rest of the solar corona. There is a standard classification of flare magnitude based on the maximum flux measured by the GOES X-ray detector in the 1-8Å band, and the flux decades are marked with letters, A, B, C, M and X – from low to high (e.g., https://spaceweather.com/glossary/flareclasses.html). Flaring plasma is also much hotter than typical quiescent plasma, easily reaching temperatures much above 10 MK.

Flares are very complex phenomena, and their emission is detected at all wavelengths from radio to  $\gamma$ -rays [164, 20]. Here we focus on flares as observed in the soft X-rays and EUV. They are generally characterized by a fast/steep rise phase and a much more gradual decay (Fig. 6), and occur in extremely localized areas, typically inside active regions (Fig. 7). In the following we present an historical overview of the main flare characteristics derived from X-ray observations.

#### 4.1 Surprising flares: rocket experiments and Skylab

The first X-ray flare observations date back to rocket experiments. In particular, an early rocket launch was meticulously organized to occur "within a few minutes of the observation of a solar flare by an alarm network of ground-based solar observations" [228]. It was a very important enterprise because, although it was known that flares do not involve the whole solar surface, until then the real extension of flaring regions was unknown. The flare was classified as a "large flare of the parallel ribbon type", i.e., a flare involving an arcade of loops the footpoints of which are visible in the lower atmosphere (photosphere/chromosphere/transition region) as two parallel ribbons (see below). The grazing incidence telescope allowed for high spatial



Fig. 6 X-ray flare light detected GOES difcurves as by in two typical ferent bands. with rise and slow decay. (from https : fast  $//hdrl.gsfc.nasa.gov/LWS_Space_Weather/GOES_Xray_descrip.html).$ 

resolution (down to about 2 arcsec) observations that revealed that the flare was extremely localized, and it was brighter than the other X-ray bright regions by at least one order of magnitude. The emitted energy was estimated to be about  $10^{31}$  erg.

Another breakthrough in flare X-ray observations was achieved when telescopes could be placed on-board satellite missions. This allowed to study the flare evolution by providing time coverage of flares in their entirety. Particular care was devoted to analyzing the morphology and evolution of a specific flare observed on June 15, 1973 with telescope S-054 on board the Skylab mission [147]. An ingenious observation technique allowed to capture the broad dynamic range required for a flare observation: photographic images were taken in sequences of frames with different exposure times, from hundredth of seconds to few seconds. This allowed for an accurate morphological analysis and measurement of the length of the flaring structure. Furthermore, the temperature and emission measure, averaged over the volume, could also be derived, assuming an isothermal emitting plasma, by using a technique based on the principle of the optically thin emission:

$$I = \int_{V} n^2 G(T) dV \sim G(\langle T \rangle) \int_{V} n^2 dV \tag{1}$$

where I is the emission intensity, V is the emitting volume, T is its temperature, n is its particle density, and G(T) is the emissivity per unit volume, as described

Paola Testa and Fabio Reale



**Fig. 7** False-color composite image in extreme ultraviolet light, from the Atmospheric Imaging Assembly onboard the Solar Dynamics Observatory, of a solar flare recorded on April 11 at 07:11 UTC. The event, a moderate M6.5 class flare erupting from active region AR 11719, is near the center of the solar disk. Other active regions, areas of intense magnetic fields where sunspot groups are observed in visible light, mottle the surface as the solar maximum approaches. Loops and arcs of glowing plasma trace the active regions' magnetic field lines. (From NASA Astronomy Picture of the Day [APOD] website, 13 April 2013, https://apod.nasa.gov/apod/ap130413.html).

clearly in [230]. The ratio of the emission of the same plasma volume in two filters with different passbands is a function of the temperature only. If the temperature is known we can derive the emission measure directly by inverting Eq. (1).

A survey of flare observations from Skylab opened the way to the systematic analysis of solar flare properties. A flare classification based on the morphology of the flaring structures was proposed, in particular differentiating flares occurring in single loop structures (compact flares), point-like flares, and flares in loop systems (or "two-ribbon flares") [148]. With the advent of subsequent flare-dedicated satellite missions, this classification has become much more blurred and somewhat



Fig. 8 Ca XIX SMM/BCS spectrum obtained during the impulsive phase of a flare observed on April 10, 1980 (09:18:11 UT). This spectrum shows a strong blue-shifted secondary component (the bump on the left of the main line). The best fit (thick line) is the superposition of two components, including a blue-shifted one (corresponding to velocities of > 300 km s<sup>-1</sup>) which is a signature of chromospheric evaporation, triggered by the very intense and short lived energy release at the beginning of the flare. (From [8]).

obsolete. Nevertheless, it is useful to point out here that, although flares are in general very complex phenomena, in some cases they can be described as rather simple systems, even single magnetic loops as observed in the X-rays. These early X-ray observations of flares, although still rather coarse, were sufficient to stimulate the development of several flare models, based on the assumption that flaring plasma moves and transports energy along the field lines of a single coronal loop and can be therefore described by purely one-dimensional hydrodynamics (i.e., no magnetic force is in action; [141]).

# 4.2 The power of spectroscopy: The Solar Maximum Mission

The Skylab mission stimulated much interest in dynamic events on the Sun, and a new mission was specifically devoted to investigate their physical characteristics. The Solar Maximum Mission (SMM) was launched in 1980, close to the maximum of the 11-year solar activity cycle. SMM carried several instruments for observation

of the solar atmosphere, but here we focus our attention on the soft X-ray spectrometers - the Flat Crystal Spectrometer (FCS) and the Bent Crystal Spectrometer (BCS) – which were part of the X-Ray Polichromator (XRP). The FCS was able to capture flare X-ray spectra in a relatively broad band (in the range from 5.68Å to 18.97Å, [160]), including several bright H-like or He-like lines from highly-ionized elements (O VIII, Ne IX, Mg XI, Si XIII, S XV, Ca XIX, Fe XV). The BCS detected very high resolution spectra (up to  $\lambda/\Delta\lambda \sim 15000$ , [205]) especially around two specific important high-energy He-like lines, Ca XIX 3.16 Å and Fe XXV 1.84 Å. The CaXVIII-XIX and FeXXIV-XXV satellite lines could be clearly resolved and used for diagnostics [47]. One very interesting result was the clear detection of a significant blue-shifted component of the 3.16 Å resonance line of Ca XIX [8] in the initial (so-called impulsive) phase of the flare (see Figure 8). This was the signature of strong upflows at hundreds of km s<sup>-1</sup> at the beginning of the flare. A possible physical origin of these flows can be ascribed to a strong flare heat pulse causing a pressure excess that makes the low loop atmosphere to rapidly expand into the relatively empty overlying magnetic tube. This effect was therefore named "chromospheric evaporation". This scenario was modeled in detail with hydrodynamic loop simulations ([9]; Fig. 8). A very exhaustive review and discussion of flare spectroscopic data from SMM data is provided by [62]. Multi-line (with SMM/FCS) and multi-band observations provided us with a detailed scenario and plenty of constraints for flare models ([129]) that allowed us to discriminate among different heating mechanisms and to constrain heating parameters [154].

Flare observations have been used as laboratories where to study plasma physics and heating mechanisms. Since many of them are localized and fast events, strong assumptions can be made regarding the relevant physical mechanisms at work. During the flare rise phase the plasma dynamics play a very important role. It is generally accepted that the emission in hard X-rays is a tracer of the energy deposition. One important evidence for this is the so-called "Neupert effect": the soft X-ray light curve in the initial rising phase matches the time-integrated emission in hard X-rays ([142]; Fig. 9). This has been observed to occur in the majority of flares, at least those with single and well-defined heat pulses, but there are exceptions that demonstrate that the energy release in flares can be rather complex both in locations and in time [60].

In the flare decay phase, when the plasma slowly drains down, the plasma dynamics can be largely neglected, and we can work under the assumption of an imbalance between the heating, which has ceased, and the cooling, which then determines most of the evolution. In spite of the impulsive rise phase, we can start here from a quasi-equilibrium condition which can be described by Rosner, Tucker and Vaiana (RTV) scaling laws [182]. It is then possible to analytically derive a very simple scaling law for the decay time  $\tau_s$  of a single flaring loop [193, 168]:

$$\tau_s = 3.7 \times 10^{-4} \frac{L}{\sqrt{T_0}} \sim 120 \frac{L_9}{\sqrt{T_{0,7}}} \tag{2}$$

where  $L(L_9)$  is the loop half-length (in units of  $10^9$  cm), and  $T_0(T_{0,7})$  the loop maximum temperature (in units of  $10^7$  K). This time scale estimate is a lower limit



Fig. 9 The Neupert effect: flare soft X-ray light curve (from the GOES soft channel; the line peaking later [ $\sim$ 17:13UT]), and hard X-ray light curve (left peak [ $\sim$ 17:10UT]) measured with CGRO/BATSE (20-25 keV). Both curves show a fast rise and a slower decay. The soft X-ray light curve is approximately equal to the integral of the hard X-ray light curve (from http://soi.stanford.edu/results/SolPhys200/Hudson/1998/981016/981016.html).

of the actual decay time, for various reasons. First, the hypothesis of equilibrium condition when the decay starts is a strong assumption, and it maximizes the initial flare density. Real flares are impulsive and the heat pulse rarely lasts so long as to reach equilibrium density. A lower density implies a slower radiation cooling. Second, some residual heating in the decay phase, which also slows down the cooling, is thought to occur frequently in flares. Keeping these caveats in mind, this scaling law can in principle be easily applied to estimate the loop length, in the cases where the flaring loop is not resolved, as is the case for stellar flares (see also Drake & Stelzer, in this handbook).

However, as such, the equation can provide only an upper limit to the loop length, because the possible presence of heating in the decay can lead to an overestimate of the actual loop length. Nevertheless, X-ray observations provide additional diag-



Fig. 10 Evolution in the emission measure-temperature diagram for a flare observed with SMM on April 7 1980. The dots mark measurements equispaced in time. Time goes clockwise. (From [203]).

nostics of the presence of heating during the decay phase, as explained here below. Spectral fittings to flare data from observations with SMM allowed to derive the evolution of the emission measure and temperature during the flares. The (square root of the) emission measure can be used as a proxy of the density, if the volume of the emitting plasma does not change much during the flare, as it is largely observed during many flares. It was pointed out that flares follow a well-defined path in a density-temperature diagram [110], and that the slope of the path in the decay changes if the heating is absent (steeper) or present (shallower). Different slopes were indeed observed in a survey of SMM flares ([203]; Fig. 10), and a new empirical formula for the loop length, incorporating these additional diagnostics, was calibrated on hydrodynamic flaring loop models, and tested on solar flares [172].

# 4.3 The digital era: from Yohkoh to Hinode and onward

The development of digital detection devices (CCD), which were adopted in next generation missions, and in particular Yohkoh and Hinode, launched in 1991 and 2006 respectively, represented a new important step for flare studies. The Yohkoh/SXT had a flag that allowed, soon after the flare start, to switch to a flare mode, characterized by very short exposure times. This allowed to record an unprecedented set of flares, and to image them with a very good spatial (5 arcsec) and temporal (2 s) resolution. With this high resolution it was possible to better observe the

#### The Solar X-ray Corona



**Fig. 11** False-color image of the flare of 13 January 1992 17:25 UT observed by Yohkoh/SXT. The contours mark the locations of the hard X-ray emission. The straight line marks the solar limb. (From [133]).

basic processes of magnetic energy conversion. Flares were still largely divided into two main categories, i.e., compact (single loop) flare and the so-called Long Duration Events (LDE), involving many loop structures (similar to the two-ribbon flares). Several events of both classes clearly showed hard X-ray emission at the flaring loop footpoints, but for some of them such emission was detected at the loop apex. One famous flare, observed by Yohkoh on 13 January 1992 17:25 UT [133] has been the subject of several investigations. This flare occurred at the so-lar limb and apparently involved a single semicircular coronal loop (compact flare). Fig. 11 clearly shows the three main sources of hard X-ray emission. These locations are generally interpreted as sites of non-thermal particle acceleration. There electrons are accelerated to relativistic speeds as an effect of magnetic reconnection. The electron beams streaming along the flaring loops are very important because it is believed that in many flares they deposit their energy at the loop footpoints and



Fig. 12 Yohkoh/SXT image of the Sun showing cusp-like emission above a flare site. (From Astronomy Picture Of the Day, APOD, 8 June 2000 https://apod.nasa.gov/apod/ap000608.html)

trigger chromospheric evaporation [106, 69]. However, the same effect is caused by thermal conduction fronts moving down along the loop from the reconnection site, and in some flares there is evidence that these prevail [128]. The source at the loop apex is believed to be strong evidence of magnetic reconnection at that site [222] and Yohkoh detected cusp-like emission and related jets on top of a flaring system ([196]; Fig. 12). Probably both mechanisms are at work at the same time, with different efficiency in different flares, and this issue deserves further investigation in the future.

On the side of LDEs we find a flare very well observed by multiple instruments on 14 July 2000, the so-called Bastille-day flare (X5.7; [13]. This flare has been observed simultaneously in several bands. It started from a very localized region but then extended rapidly to a very long arcade of loops, as imaged impressively by



Fig. 13 Arcade of flaring loops triggered during the 14 July 2000 flare, as imaged by the TRACE mission in the 195Å channel (From astronomy picture of the day, APOD, 20 July 2000 https://apod.nasa.gov/apod/ap000720.html)

the TRACE mission ([12]; Fig. 13). Also in this case the investigations pointed out strong evidence for on-going magnetic reconnection [200, 166].

The detailed analysis of flares in several energy bands led to build schematic views in which the soft X-ray emission is only one of the manifestations of the energy release from magnetic reconnection ([196, 164]; Fig. 14). The extensive database of flares observed in different filterbands by the Yohkoh mission also allowed to extract a collection of samples of the emission measure distribution versus temperature, and of its evolution during the flares [173]. From such distributions can be used to synthesize the emission that could be detected by non-solar X-ray telescopes. This flaring "Sun-as-a-star" becomes a template to interpret X-ray observations of stellar flares (see also Drake & Stelzer, in this handbook).

Current missions that monitor the corona, Hinode, Solar Dynamics Observatory, IRIS, focus more on the details of flare evolution and the flaring structure. Although here we will focus mostly on the high energy observations in the soft X-ray band, we first briefly mention some important results obtained with IRIS spectral observations in the ultraviolet band, in particular using the Fe XXI 1354Å flare line. IRIS has observed hundreds of flares so far, and brought about significant progress in flare studies mainly thanks to its unprecedented spatial ( $\sim 0.33$ ") and temporal (down to < 1s) resolution. The unique IRIS flare results include for instance: finally spatially resolving chromospheric evaporation sites at the footpoints of flaring loops

(e.g., [252]), which solved a decade-long puzzle and brought agreement with model predictions, although the large symmetric broadenings observed with IRIS are not easily explained by flaring loop models ([163]); constraints on magnetic reconnection processes, e.g., from Fe XXI redshifts at looptop, coincident with hard X-ray sources, and interpreted as signatures of termination shocks (e.g., [161]); constraints on initiation of CMEs from e.g., Fe XXI observations in flux ropes prior to eruptions (e.g., [38]). We refer the reader to [55] for a recent detailed review of IRIS flare studies (including also a discussion of exciting flare results from the IRIS chromospheric and transition region lines).

Magnetic reconnection remains the main scenario for the interpretation of flare X-ray observations. The high cadence and spatial resolution of the Hinode/XRT captured the shrinking of cusp-shaped flare loops [179]. Dark downflows, called supra-arcade downflows [135], have been observed above flare arcades during the decay phase of some flares [108, 107, 186, 97], probably associated with the reconnection process [11]. These downflows travel through a very hot medium [178]. Hinode XRT also detected symmetric upflows along the loop legs in several events, most with speeds of 100 km/s but also up to 500 km/s, identified with chromospheric evaporation. Joint analysis of EUV, soft X-ray emission (GOES) and hard X-ray spectra (RHESSI) showed that flaring structures are multithermal [242], and that there is evidence for a superhot (> 30 MK) component in X-class flares [35].

Multiband observations made with the Hinode mission were devoted to study the build-up of flare energy. The free energy can build up as a result of the emergence of sheared magnetic fields above the photosphere [124, 192, 191], shearing motions or rotation of the photospheric footpoints [75, 258, 30], and the cancellation of flux in the photosphere [132, 127]. The magnetic stress leads to the formation of highly twisted flux tubes, called sigmoids, which are also highly unstable and can erupt or determine explosive reconnection. Some active regions have been monitored carefully by the Hinode mission, especially at instrument peak performance in the early mission phase. The emergence of twisted flux tubes and of dark unstable and elongated structures, called filament channels was either correlated to the rotation of the related spots [139] or interpreted as bodily emergence of helical flux ropes [145, 146]. The measured local increase of the magnetic helicity might be another magnetic signature [150].

Such multiband observations, where the XRT and SOT had a major role, were also supported by advanced modeling, which allowed detailed comparison to data (e.g., [187, 202, 189]) and emphasized the possible role of flux cancellation in triggering flare eruptions. MHD simulations showed that sunspot rotation can really trigger the formation of sigmoids [123]. A flare catalog from the Hinode mission is available at https://hinode.isee.nagoya-u.ac.jp/flare\_catalogue/ [244].

Great attention has been paid quite recently to the generation of quasi-periodic oscillations (QPP) inside flaring coronal loops. Such oscillations have been detected in flare light curves in several spectral bands, from radio to hard X-rays, and they have a number of possible origins and physical drivers (e.g., [257]). Flares can trigger substantial wave modes and fronts, such as sausage modes [194] and magnetosonic modes [170, 137] which can involve significant density variations and there-



**Fig. 14** Schematic diagrams of a flare loop system driven by magnetic reconnection. (From [196] (left), [164] (right)).

fore can become visible in the EUV and soft X-ray band. The detection of QPPs can be used as probes of physical conditions inside flaring loops, e.g., through measuring the period and the propagation speed, and provide information about the flare heat pulses [170]. Evidence for magnetosonic waves has been detected also in EUV observations of microflaring loop systems [176]. Up-to-date much attention has been devoted to the flare and eruption generation and prediction, and their influence on terrestrial activities (space weather) through Coronal Mass Ejections (see next section).

# 5. Coronal Mass Ejections

Flares often determine strong stresses and weakening of the local confining magnetic field. As a consequence, closed magnetic structures can break up and result into large-scale violent eruptions of dense plasma. Relatively hot and dense clouds are then expelled out of the solar atmosphere into the interplanetary medium, the so-called Coronal Mass Ejections (CMEs). CMEs are key drivers of space weather in the heliosphere and planetary environments. The study of CMEs is therefore also important to investigate their impact on exoplanetary atmospheres and in particular on exoplanet habitability (e.g., [251]). This is particularly consequential in light of recent Kepler observations that revealed a high frequency of flares that can be thousands of times more intense than solar ones (the so-called superflares) in solar-like stars (e.g., [131]), and detected also in the X-ray band on pre-MS stars [76]. Direct evidence for a CME has been detected in the X-rays during a stellar flare on an active star [10]. However, here we discuss only very briefly this topic because on the Sun CMEs mostly consist of relatively cool plasma ( $\leq 1$  MK), and therefore not primarily emitting in the X-ray band. If present, X-ray emission is typically detected in the very initial phases of the ejection, when the ejected plasma is still at coronal temperatures. For instance, hot X-ray plasma ( $\sim 3$  MK) was detected from the current sheet associated with the reconnection that generated an eruption [177]. Hinode/XRT also discovered that the heating from reconnection continues also during the eruption itself [88], and it provided abundant evidence that flux ropes exist in the corona prior to eruption (e.g.,[105]), although the details of the CME initiation mechanisms are still elusive. Recent studies have been devoted to correlation between flares, CMEs, and SEP (Solar Energetic Particles) events (e.g., [68]), to the details of initial CME acceleration as connected to the energy release during an intense flare [88], and to the direct excitation of MHD waves by CMEs [137]. We refer to reviews by e.g., Chen [37], Webb and Howard [245], Temmer [206], Nitta et al [143], for recent overviews of salient characteristics of CMEs and their importance in the solar physics and heliospheric context.

### 6. Conclusions

In this chapter we have presented an historical overview of X-ray observations of the solar corona, focusing in particular on recent results obtained with current instrumentation, and we have discussed the status of the resulting understanding of the physical processes at work in the solar outer atmosphere. We note that, to achieve a tractable review of the many aspects of the high energy emission from the Sun, we have discussed a limited selection of topics. We made the choice to focus on the X-ray emission, and soft X-ray emission in particular ( $\leq 10$  keV,  $\geq 1$ Å), although several results from hard X-ray observations are discussed as well in the sections on active regions and on flares. We note that a discussion of solar gamma-ray emission, and additional hard X-ray results, are included in another chapter of this book (Saint-Hilaire et al.). We have also included some results from extreme-ultraviolet observations, but especially focusing on the shorter wavelengths (e.g., AIA 94Å and 131Å passbands) more sensitive to the hotter plasma. As a consequence of these choices, our overview is heavily focused on the hottest coronal features, i.e., active region cores and flares. The EUV and UV observations of course provide complementary information of fundamental importance to understand some of these phenomena, as briefly referred to here, and thoroughly discussed in ample literature (see e.g., recent reviews of [105, 55]). Similarly, another important aspect we have not delved into here is the modeling of the observed high energy phenomena on the Sun, which is necessary to understand the underlying physical processes (see e.g., [195, 37, 169, 51, 156, 34, 236] for some recent reviews).

Our review traced the progress of X-ray observations of the Sun in the last several decades. These X-ray observations at increasing spatial, temporal, and spectral resolution reveal the extremely dynamic nature of the solar hot atmosphere. The solar corona, which is shaped and energized by the solar magnetic field, is highly

#### The Solar X-ray Corona

structured in regions of different activity and X-ray emission, and its emission mirrors the underlying solar magnetic activity cycle. Solar X-ray observations, thanks to their high resolution, also provide us with a close-up view of several astrophysical phenomena (e.g., magnetic reconnection, jets) that cannot be easily investigated in far-away astrophysical sources.

The issue of which physical processes dominate the conversion of magnetoconvective energy to power the corona, i.e., the coronal heating problem, is one of the most important fundamental open issues in astrophysics. Despite significant recent progress in understanding the physical phenomena at work in the quiescent solar corona, several open issues remain, including the following, which would benefit the most from X-ray observations:

- accurately determine the presence and characteristics (spatial, temporal, thermal properties) of the hot plasma;
- determine the characteristic magnitude and frequency of heating events that sustain the quiescent corona;
- determine the extent and limits of similarities between nanoflares and large flares (e.g., are accelerated particles common in the 'quiescent' corona, and do they play a significant role in energizing the solar atmosphere?);
- determine the importance (and properties) of different heating mechanisms (e.g., Alfvén waves, nanoflares) in different solar regions.

Flares remain a central topic of investigation for the future, especially considering the increasing importance of space weather, for its influence on e.g., electromagnetic and telecommunication activities, and human space exploration. Similarly to the quiescent solar emission, several aspects of the physical processes driving flares (and CMEs) remain to be fully understood. Important issues that need yet to be addressed include:

- capturing the initial impulsive instabilities that lead to flares and CMEs (i.e., the flare/CME triggers);
- constraining the components that determine the intensity of the flare;
- determining the relative role of particle acceleration and current dissipation;
- ultimately reaching an exhaustive flare scenario that allows for forecasting.

High spatial, temporal, and spectral resolution observations are necessary to address these issues and, in turn, to pinpoint the physical mechanisms responsible for coronal heating and flare physics. High quality flare observations are still difficult to obtain because of their very dynamic nature, but they might be within reach of next-generation X-ray instruments. Multi-band observations and high spatial/temporal resolution X-ray/EUV spectroscopy are certainly key to constraining advanced modeling. Indeed, increasing computing power has enabled major advances in realism and complexity of numerical modeling.

A rich ongoing sounding rocket program, and future missions, allow to push the boundaries of current observations and explore novel instrumentation and technologies. Spectroscopic observations provide unique diagnostics of plasma properties (e.g., plasma flows, density, chemical composition), and are therefore particularly crucial to testing competing models and furthering our understanding of physical processes at work in the corona. However, it is significantly challenging to design a spectrograph able to obtain spectral measurements at high spatial and temporal cadence, and with large spatial coverage, all of which are necessary to capture the plasma dynamic, at different locations of sizable coronal features. These observational constraints will be achievable with future instrumentation, and it is in particular foreseeable, for instance, with a combination of the Multi-slit Solar Explorer (MUSE; [54, 56, 39]) - a recently selected NASA MIDEX mission, with planned launch in 2026, which will obtain high spatio-temporal spectral and imaging coronal observations, over an active region-size field of view, in EUV narrow spectral bands thanks to its innovative multi-slit design (37 slits) – and the planned Solar-C/EUVST ([197]) mission – which, with a traditional single-slit design, will provide spectral observations with very extensive thermal coverage, at matching ( $\sim 0.3$ ") high spatial resolution at different temperatures. The few coronal observations available to date at subarcsec resolution, such as for instance the short time series of imaging data from the Hi-C rocket experiments (see sec. 3), have provided us with tantalizing glimpses of the significant leap these future high-resolution coronal observatories will certainly produce.

P.T. was supported by NASA contract NNM07AB07C (Hinode/XRT) to the Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory, by contract 8100002705 (IRIS) from Lockheed-Martin to SAO, by the MUSE contract from Lockheed-Martin to SAO, and by the NASA grants 80NSSC20K0716, 80NSSC21K0737, and 80NSSC20K1272. F.R. acknowledges support from the italian Ministero dell'Università e della Ricerca (MUR).

### **Cross-references**

- Stellar Coronae (J. Drake and B. Stelzer)
- Star Forming Regions (S. Sciortino)
- Pre-main sequence: Accretion and Outflows (P.C. Schneider, H.M. Günther, and S. Ustamujic)
- X-ray and Gamma-Ray indirect imaging (Saint-Hilaire P., Hurford G., Shih A., and Dennis B.)

#### References

- [1] Acton LW, Culhane JL, Gabriel AH, et al. (1980) The soft X-ray polychromator for the Solar Maximum Mission. Sol. Phys. 65(1):53–71
- [2] Adithya HN, Kariyappa R, Shinsuke I, et al. (2021) Solar Soft X-ray Irradiance Variability, I: Segmentation of Hinode/XRT Full-Disk Images and Comparison with GOES (1 - 8 A) X-Ray Flux. Sol. Phys. 296(4):71
- [3] Alfvén H (1947) Magneto hydrodynamic waves, and the heating of the solar corona. MNRAS 107:211
- [4] Alipour N, Safari H (2015) Statistical Properties of Solar Coronal Bright Points. ApJ 807(2):175
- [5] Antiochos SK, Karpen JT, DeLuca EE, Golub L, Hamilton P (2003) Constraints on Active Region Coronal Heating. ApJ 590:547–553
- [6] Antolin P, Rouppe van der Voort L (2012) Observing the Fine Structure of Loops through High-resolution Spectroscopic Observations of Coronal Rain with the CRISP Instrument at the Swedish Solar Telescope. ApJ 745(2):152
- [7] Antolin P, Pagano P, Testa P, Petralia A, Reale F (2021) Reconnection nanojets in the solar corona. Nature Astronomy 5:54–62
- [8] Antonucci E, Gabriel AH, Acton LW, et al. (1982) Impulsive Phase of Flares in Soft X-Ray Emission. Sol. Phys. 78(1):107–123
- [9] Antonucci E, Dodero MA, Peres G, Serio S, Rosner R (1987) Simulations of the CA XIX Spectral Emission from a Flaring Solar Crornal Loop. I. Thermal Case. ApJ 322:522
- [10] Argiroffi C, Reale F, Drake JJ, Ciaravella A, Testa P, et al. (2019) A stellar flare-coronal mass ejection event revealed by X-ray plasma motions. Nature Astronomy 3:742–748
- [11] Asai A, Yokoyama T, Shimojo M, Shibata K (2004) Downflow Motions Associated with Impulsive Nonthermal Emissions Observed in the 2002 July 23 Solar Flare. ApJL605(1):L77–L80
- [12] Aschwanden MJ (2005) Physics of the Solar Corona. An Introduction with Problems and Solutions (2nd edition). Praxis Publishing Ltd., Chichester, UK; Springer, New York, Berlin, 2005.
- [13] Aschwanden MJ, Alexander D (2001) Flare Plasma Cooling from 30 MK down to 1 MK modeled from Yohkoh, GOES, and TRACE observations during the Bastille Day Event (14 July 2000). Sol. Phys. 204:91–120
- [14] Aschwanden MJ, Peter H (2017) The Width Distribution of Loops and Strands in the Solar Corona—Are We Hitting Rock Bottom? ApJ 840(1):4
- [15] Athiray PS, Vievering J, Glesener L, et al. (2020) FOXSI-2 Solar Microflares. I. Multi-instrument Differential Emission Measure Analysis and Thermal Energies. ApJ 891(1):78
- [16] Baker D, Brooks DH, Démoulin P, et al. (2015) FIP Bias Evolution in a Decaying Active Region. ApJ 802(2):104
- [17] Baker D, Brooks DH, van Driel-Gesztelyi L, et al. (2018) Coronal Elemental Abundances in Solar Emerging Flux Regions. ApJ 856(1):71

- [18] Barnes WT, Bradshaw SJ, Viall NM (2019) Understanding Heating in Active Region Cores through Machine Learning. I. Numerical Modeling and Predicted Observables. ApJ 880(1):56
- [19] Bellot Rubio L, Orozco Suárez D (2019) Quiet Sun magnetic fields: an observational view. Living Reviews in Solar Physics 16(1):1
- [20] Benz AO (2017) Flare Observations. Living Reviews in Solar Physics 14(1):2
- [21] Berghmans D, Auchère F, Long DM, et al. (2021) Extreme UV quiet Sun brightenings observed by Solar Orbiter/EUI. arXiv e-prints arXiv:2104.03382,
- [22] Blake RL, Chubb TA, Friedman H, Unzicker AE (1963) Interpretation of X-Ray Photograph of the Sun. ApJ 137:3
- [23] Blake RL, Chubb TA, Friedman H, Unzicker AE (1964) Solar X-Ray Spectrum below 25 Angstroms. Science 146(3647):1037–1038
- [24] Blake RL, Chubb TA, Friedman H, Unzicker AE (1965) Spectral and Photometric Measurements of Solar X-Ray Emission Below 60 Å. ApJ 142:1
- [25] Bradshaw SJ, Klimchuk JA, Reep JW (2012) Diagnosing the Timedependence of Active Region Core Heating from the Emission Measure. I. Low-frequency Nanoflares. ApJ 758(1):53
- [26] Brooks DH, Warren HP, Williams DR, Watanabe T (2009) Hinode/Extreme-Ultraviolet Imaging Spectrometer Observations of the Temperature Structure of the Quiet Corona. ApJ 705:1522–1532
- [27] Brooks DH, Warren HP, Ugarte-Urra I, Winebarger AR (2013) High Spatial Resolution Observations of Loops in the Solar Corona. ApJL772(2):L19
- [28] Brooks DH, Ugarte-Urra I, Warren HP (2015) Full-Sun observations for identifying the source of the slow solar wind. Nature Communications 6:5947
- [29] Brosius JW, Daw AN, Rabin DM (2014) Pervasive Faint Fe XIX Emission from a Solar Active Region Observed with EUNIS-13: Evidence for Nanoflare Heating. ApJ 790(2):112
- [30] Brown DS, Nightingale RW, Alexander D, et al. (2003) Observations of Rotating Sunspots from TRACE. Sol. Phys. 216(1):79–108
- [31] Brueckner GE, Bartoe JDF (1983) Observations of high-energy jets in the corona above the quiet sun, the heating of the corona, and the acceleration of the solar wind. ApJ 272:329–348
- [32] Brun AS, Browning MK (2017) Magnetism, dynamo action and the solarstellar connection. Living Reviews in Solar Physics 14(1):4
- [33] Cargill PJ, Klimchuk JA (2004) Nanoflare Heating of the Corona Revisited. ApJ 605:911–920
- [34] Cargill PJ, Warren HP, Bradshaw SJ (2015) Modelling nanoflares in active regions and implications for coronal heating mechanisms. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London Series A 373(2042):20140260– 20140260
- [35] Caspi A, Krucker S, Lin RP (2014) Statistical Properties of Super-hot Solar Flares. ApJ 781(1):43
- [36] Charbonneau P (2020) Dynamo models of the solar cycle. Living Reviews in Solar Physics 17(1):4

30

- [37] Chen PF (2011) Coronal Mass Ejections: Models and Their Observational Basis. Living Reviews in Solar Physics 8(1):1
- [38] Cheng X, Ding MD (2016) Spectroscopic Diagnostics of Solar Magnetic Flux Ropes Using Iron Forbidden Line. ApJL823:L4
- [39] Cheung MCM, Martínez-Sykora J, Testa P, et al. (2021) Probing the Physics of the Solar Atmosphere with the Multi-slit Solar Explorer (MUSE): II. Flares and Eruptions. arXiv e-prints arXiv:2106.15591, 2106.15591
- [40] Chitta LP, Peter H, Solanki SK (2018) Nature of the energy source powering solar coronal loops driven by nanoflares. A&A615:L9
- [41] Christe S, Glesener L, Buitrago-Casas C, et al. (2016) FOXSI-2: Upgrades of the Focusing Optics X-ray Solar Imager for its Second Flight. Journal of Astronomical Instrumentation 5(1):1640005-625
- [42] Cirtain JJ, Golub L, Winebarger A, et al (2013) Energy release in the solar corona from spatially resolved magnetic braids. Nature 493:501–503
- [43] Cirtain JW, Golub L, Lundquist L, et al. (2007) Evidence for Alfvén Waves in Solar X-ray Jets. Science 318(5856):1580
- [44] Cooper K, Hannah IG, Grefenstette BW, et al. (2020) NuSTAR Observation of a Minuscule Microflare in a Solar Active Region. ApJL893(2):L40
- [45] Cooper K, Hannah IG, Grefenstette BW, et al. (2021) NuSTAR observations of a repeatedly microflaring active region. MNRAS 507(3):3936–3951
- [46] Cranmer SR (2009) Coronal Holes. Living Reviews in Solar Physics 6(1):3
- [47] Culhane JL, Rapley CG, Bentley RD, et al. (1981) X-ray spectra of solar flares obtained with a high-resolution bent crystal spectrometer. ApJL244:L141–L145
- [48] Culhane JL, Harra LK, James AM, et al. (2007) The EUV Imaging Spectrometer for Hinode. Sol. Phys. 243:19–61
- [49] Davis JM, Golub L, Krieger AS (1977) Solar cycle variation of magnetic flux emergence. ApJL214:L141–L144
- [50] de Jager C (1965) Solar X radiation. Annales d'Astrophysique 28:125
- [51] De Moortel I, Browning P (2015) Recent advances in coronal heating. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London Series A 373(2042):20140269–20140269
- [52] De Pontieu B, Berger TE, Schrijver CJ, Title AM (1999) Dynamics of Transition Region 'Moss' at high time resolution. Sol. Phys. 190:419–435
- [53] De Pontieu B, Title AM, Lemen JR, et al. (2014) The Interface Region Imaging Spectrograph (IRIS). Sol. Phys. 289:2733–2779
- [54] De Pontieu B, Martínez-Sykora J, Testa P, et al. (2020) The Multi-slit Approach to Coronal Spectroscopy with the Multi-slit Solar Explorer (MUSE). ApJ 888(1):3
- [55] De Pontieu B, Polito V, Hansteen V, Testa P, et al. (2021) A New View of the Solar Interface Region from the Interface Region Imaging Spectrograph (IRIS). Sol. Phys. 296(5):84
- [56] De Pontieu B, Testa P, Martinez-Sykora J, et al. (2021) Probing the physics of the solar atmosphere with the Multi-slit Solar Explorer (MUSE): I. Coronal Heating. arXiv e-prints arXiv:2106.15584, 2106.15584

- [57] Del Zanna G, Mason HE (2018) Solar UV and X-ray spectral diagnostics. Living Reviews in Solar Physics 15(1):5
- [58] Del Zanna G, Tripathi D, Mason H, Subramanian S, O'Dwyer B (2015) The evolution of the emission measure distribution in the core of an active region. A&A573:A104
- [59] Del Zanna G, Andretta V, Cargill PJ, et al. (2021) High resolution soft Xray spectroscopy and the quest for the hot (5-10 MK) plasma in solar active regions. Frontiers in Astronomy and Space Sciences 8:33
- [60] Dennis BR, Zarro DM (1993) The Neupert effect What can it tell us about the impulsive and gradual phases of solar flares? Sol. Phys. 146:177–190
- [61] Dere KP, Horan DM, Kreplin RW (1974) The spectral dependence of solar soft X-ray flux values obtained by SOLRAD 9. Journal of Atmospheric and Terrestrial Physics 36:989–994
- [62] Doschek GA (1990) Soft X-ray spectroscopy of solar flares an overview. ApJS73:117–130
- [63] Edlén B (1943) Die Deutung der Emissionslinien im Spektrum der Sonnenkorona. Mit 6 Abbildungen. Zeitschrift für Astrophysik 22:30
- [64] Evans K, Pounds KA (1967) X-Ray Emission Line Spectrum of a Coronal Active Region. Nature 214(5083):41–42
- [65] Evans K, Pounds KA (1968) The X-Ray Emission Spectrum of a Solar Active Region. ApJ 152:319-+
- [66] Feldman U (1998) FIP Effect in the Solar Upper Atmosphere: Spectroscopic Results. Space Science Reviews 85:227–240
- [67] Feldman U, Widing KG (2003) Elemental Abundances in the Solar Upper Atmosphere Derived by Spectroscopic Means. Space Science Reviews 107(3):665–720
- [68] Firoz KA, Gan WQ, Moon YJ, Rodríguez-Pacheco J, Li YP (2019) On the Relation between Flare and CME during GLE-SEP and Non-GLE-SEP Events. ApJ 883(1):91
- [69] Fisher GH, Canfield RC, McClymont AN (1985) Flare loop radiative hydrodynamics. V - Response to thick-target heating. VI - Chromospheric evaporation due to heating by nonthermal electrons. VII - Dynamics of the thicktarget heated chromosphere. ApJ 289:414–441
- [70] Fisher GH, Longcope DW, Metcalf TR, Pevtsov AA (1998) Coronal Heating in Active Regions as a Function of Global Magnetic Variables. ApJ 508:885– 898
- [71] Fletcher L, De Pontieu B (1999) Plasma Diagnostics of Transition Region "Moss" using SOHO/CDS and TRACE. ApJL520:L135–L138
- [72] Fritz G, Kreplin RW, Meekins JF, Unzicker AE, Friedman H (1967) Solar X-Ray Spectrum from 1.9 to 25 Å. ApJL148:L133
- [73] Gabriel AH, Jordan C (1969) Interpretation of solar helium-like ion line intensities. MNRAS 145:241
- [74] Gabriel AH, Jordan C (1973) The Temperature Dependence of Line Ratios of Helium-Like Ions. ApJ 186:327–334

- [75] Gesztelyi L (1984) Consecutive homologous flares and their relation to sunspot motions. Advances in Space Research 4(7):19–22
- [76] Getman KV, Feigelson ED (2021) X-Ray Superflares from Pre-mainsequence Stars: Flare Energetics and Frequency. ApJ 916(1):32
- [77] Giacconi R, Rossi B (1960) A 'Telescope' for Soft X-Ray Astronomy. JGR65:773
- [78] Giacconi R, Gursky H, Paolini FR, Rossi BB (1962) Evidence for x Rays From Sources Outside the Solar System. PRL9(11):439–443
- [79] Giacconi R, Reidy WP, Zehnpfennig T, Lindsay JC, Muney WS (1965) Solar X-Ray Image Obtained Using Grazing-Incidence Optics. ApJ 142:1274– 1278
- [80] Glesener L, Krucker S, Hannah IG, et al. (2017) NuSTAR Hard X-Ray Observation of a Sub-A Class Solar Flare. ApJ 845(2):122
- [81] Glesener L, Krucker S, Duncan J, et al. (2020) Accelerated Electrons Observed Down to <7 keV in a NuSTAR Solar Microflare. ApJL891(2):L34
- [82] Goldberg L (1967) Ultraviolet and X Rays from the Sun. Annual Reviews of Astronomy & Astrophysics 5:279
- [83] Golub L, Pasachoff JM (2009) The Solar Corona. Cambridge University Press, 2009.
- [84] Golub L, Krieger AS, Silk JK, Timothy AF, Vaiana GS (1974) Solar X-Ray Bright Points. ApJL189:L93
- [85] Golub L, Davis JM, Krieger AS (1979) Anticorrelation of X-ray bright points with sunspot number, 1970 - 1978. ApJL229:L145–L150
- [86] Golub L, Herant M, Kalata K, et al. (1990) Sub-arcsecond observations of the solar X-ray corona. Nature 344(6269):842–844
- [87] Golub L, Deluca E, Austin G, et al. (2007) The X-Ray Telescope (XRT) for the Hinode Mission. Sol. Phys. 243:63–86
- [88] Gou T, Veronig AM, Liu R, et al. (2020) Solar Flare-CME Coupling throughout Two Acceleration Phases of a Fast CME. ApJL897(2):L36
- [89] Graham DR, De Pontieu B, Testa P (2019) Automated Detection of Rapid Variability of Moss Using SDO/AIA and Its Connection to the Solar Corona. ApJL880(1):L12
- [90] Grefenstette BW, Glesener L, Krucker S, et al. (2016) The First Focused Hard X-ray Images of the Sun with NuSTAR. ApJ 826(1):20
- [91] Grotrian W (1939) Zur Frage der Deutung der Linien im Spektrum der Sonnenkorona. Naturwissenschaften 27(13):214–214
- [92] Gudiksen BV, Carlsson M, Hansteen VH, Hayek W, Leenaarts J, Martínez-Sykora J (2011) The stellar atmosphere simulation code Bifrost. Code description and validation. A&A531:A154
- [93] Hall LA, Hinteregger HE (1970) Solar radiation in the extreme ultraviolet and its variation with solar rotation. JGR75(34):6959
- [94] Hannah IG, Christe S, Krucker S, Hurford GJ, Hudson HS, Lin RP (2008) RHESSI Microflare Statistics. II. X-Ray Imaging, Spectroscopy, and Energy Distributions. ApJ 677(1):704–718

- [95] Hannah IG, Grefenstette BW, Smith DM, et al. (2016) The First X-Ray Imaging Spectroscopy of Quiescent Solar Active Regions with NuSTAR. ApJL820(1):L14
- [96] Hannah IG, Kleint L, Krucker S, et al. (2019) Joint X-Ray, EUV, and UV Observations of a Small Microflare. ApJ 881(2):109
- [97] Hanneman WJ, Reeves KK (2014) Thermal Structure of Current Sheets and Supra-arcade Downflows in the Solar Corona. ApJ 786(2):95
- [98] Hansteen V, Guerreiro N, De Pontieu B, Carlsson M (2015) Numerical Simulations of Coronal Heating through Footpoint Braiding. ApJ 811:106
- [99] Hara H, Nakakubo-Morimoto K (2003) Variation of the X-Ray Bright Point Number over the Solar Activity Cycle. ApJ 589(2):1062–1074
- [100] Harrison FA, Craig WW, Christensen FE, et al. (2013) The Nuclear Spectroscopic Telescope Array (NuSTAR) High-energy X-Ray Mission. ApJ 770(2):103
- [101] Harvey JW (2013) The Sun in Time. Space Science Reviews 176(1-4):47-58
- [102] Harvey KL, Recely F (2002) Polar Coronal Holes During Cycles 22 and 23. Sol. Phys. 211(1):31–52
- [103] Hathaway DH (2015) The Solar Cycle. Living Reviews in Solar Physics 12(1):4
- [104] Hazra G, Vidotto AA, D'Angelo CV (2020) Influence of the Sun-like magnetic cycle on exoplanetary atmospheric escape. MNRAS 496(3):4017–4031
- [105] Hinode Review Team, et al. (2019) Achievements of Hinode in the first eleven years. PASJ71(5):R1
- [106] Hirayama T (1974) Theoretical Model of Flares and Prominences. I: Evaporating Flare Model. Sol. Phys. 34:323–338
- [107] Innes DE, McKenzie DE, Wang T (2003) Observations of 1000 km s<sup>-1</sup> Doppler shifts in 10<sup>7</sup> K solar flare supra-arcade. Sol. Phys. 217(2):267–279
- [108] Innes DE, McKenzie DE, Wang T (2003) SUMER spectral observations of post-flare supra-arcade inflows. Sol. Phys. 217(2):247–265
- [109] Ishikawa S, Glesener L, Christe S, et al. (2014) Constraining hot plasma in a non-flaring solar active region with FOXSI hard X-ray observations. PASJ66:S15
- [110] Jakimiec J, Sylwester B, Sylwester J, Serio S, Peres G, Reale F (1992) Dynamics of flaring loops. II - Flare evolution in the density-temperature diagram. A&A253(1):269–276
- [111] Kariyappa R (1999) Quiet-Sun Variability with the Solar Cycle. In: Rimmele TR, Balasubramaniam KS, Radick RR (eds) High Resolution Solar Physics: Theory, Observations, and Techniques, Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series, vol 183, p 501
- [112] Klimchuk JA (2006) On Solving the Coronal Heating Problem. Sol. Phys. 234:41–77
- [113] Klimchuk JA (2015) Key aspects of coronal heating. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London Series A 373(2042):20140256– 20140256

- [114] Klimchuk JA, Cargill PJ (2001) Spectroscopic Diagnostics of Nanoflareheated Loops. ApJ 553:440–448
- [115] Ko Y, Doschek GA, Warren HP, Young PR (2009) Hot Plasma in Nonflaring Active Regions Observed by the Extreme-Ultraviolet Imaging Spectrometer on Hinode. ApJ 697:1956–1970
- [116] Kobayashi K, Cirtain J, Winebarger AR, et al. (2014) The High-Resolution Coronal Imager (Hi-C). Sol. Phys. 289(11):4393–4412
- [117] Krieger AS, Vaiana GS, van Speybroeck LP (1971) The X-Ray Corona and the Photospheric Magnetic Field. In: Howard R (ed) Solar Magnetic Fields, vol 43, p 397
- [118] Krieger AS, Timothy AF, Roelof EC (1973) A Coronal Hole and Its Identification as the Source of a High Velocity Solar Wind Stream. Sol. Phys. 29(2):505–525
- [119] Krucker S, Christe S, Glesener L, et al. (2014) First Images from the Focusing Optics X-Ray Solar Imager. ApJL793(2):L32
- [120] Kuzin SV, Bogachev SA, Zhitnik IA, et al. (2009) TESIS experiment on EUV imaging spectroscopy of the Sun. Advances in Space Research 43(6):1001– 1006
- [121] Laming JM (2015) The FIP and Inverse FIP Effects in Solar and Stellar Coronae. Living Reviews in Solar Physics 12(1):2
- [122] Lammer H, Selsis F, Ribas I, Guinan EF, Bauer SJ, Weiss WW (2003) Atmospheric Loss of Exoplanets Resulting from Stellar X-Ray and Extreme-Ultraviolet Heating. ApJL598(2):L121–L124
- [123] Leake JE, Linton MG, Török T (2013) Simulations of Emerging Magnetic Flux. I. The Formation of Stable Coronal Flux Ropes. ApJ 778(2):99
- [124] Leka KD, Canfield RC, McClymont AN, van Driel-Gesztelyi L (1996) Evidence for Current-carrying Emerging Flux. ApJ 462:547
- [125] Lemen JR, Title AM, Akin DJ, et al. (2012) The Atmospheric Imaging Assembly (AIA) on the Solar Dynamics Observatory (SDO). Sol. Phys. 275:17– 40
- [126] Lin RP, Dennis BR, Hurford GJ, et al. (2002) The Reuven Ramaty High-Energy Solar Spectroscopic Imager (RHESSI). Sol. Phys. 210:3–32
- [127] Livi SHB, Martin S, Wang H, Ai G (1989) The Association of Flares to Cancelling Magnetic Features on the Sun. Sol. Phys. 121(1-2):197–214
- [128] López FM, Giménez de Castro CG, Mandrini CH, et al. (2022) A solar flare driven by thermal conduction observed in mid-infrared. A&A657:A51
- [129] MacNeice P, Pallavicini R, Mason HE, et al. (1985) Multiwavelength Analysis of a Well Observed Flare from Solar Maximum Mission. Sol. Phys. 99(1-2):167–188
- [130] Madjarska MS (2019) Coronal bright points. Living Reviews in Solar Physics 16(1):2
- [131] Maehara H, Shibayama T, Notsu S, et al. (2012) Superflares on solar-type stars. Nature 485(7399):478–481
- [132] Martin SF, Livi SHB, Wang J (1985) The cancellation of magnetic flux. II -In a decaying active region. Australian Journal of Physics 38:929–959

- [133] Masuda S, Kosugi T, Hara H, Tsuneta S, Ogawara Y (1994) A loop-top hard X-ray source in a compact solar flare as evidence for magnetic reconnection. Nature 371(6497):495–497
- [134] McIntosh SW, Wang X, Leamon RJ, et al. (2014) Deciphering Solar Magnetic Activity. I. On the Relationship between the Sunspot Cycle and the Evolution of Small Magnetic Features. ApJ 792(1):12
- [135] McKenzie DE, Hudson HS (1999) X-Ray Observations of Motions and Structure above a Solar Flare Arcade. ApJL519(1):L93–L96
- [136] McTiernan JM (2009) RHESSI/GOES Observations of the Nonflaring Sun from 2002 to 2006. ApJ 697:94–99
- [137] Miao YH, Liu Y, Shen YD, Li HB, Abidin ZZ, Elmhamdi A, Kordi AS (2019) A Quasi-periodic Propagating Wave and Extreme-ultraviolet Waves Excited Simultaneously in a Solar Eruption Event. ApJL871(1):L2
- [138] Miceli M, Reale F, Gburek S, et al. (2012) X-ray emitting hot plasma in solar active regions observed by the SphinX spectrometer. A&A544:A139
- [139] Min S, Chae J (2009) The Rotating Sunspot in AR 10930. Sol. Phys. 258(2):203–217
- [140] Mou C, Madjarska MS, Galsgaard K, Xia L (2018) Eruptions from quiet Sun coronal bright points. I. Observations. A&A619:A55
- [141] Nagai F (1980) A Model of Hot Loops Associated with Solar Flares Part One - Gasdynamics in the Loops. Sol. Phys. 68(2):351–379
- [142] Neupert WM (1968) Comparison of Solar X-Ray Line Emission with Microwave Emission during Flares. ApJL153:L59
- [143] Nitta NV, Mulligan T, Kilpua EKJ, et al. (2021) Understanding the Origins of Problem Geomagnetic Storms Associated with "Stealth" Coronal Mass Ejections. Space Science Reviews 217(8):82
- [144] Ogawara Y, Acton LW, Bentley RD, et al. (1992) The status of YOHKOH in orbit - an introduction to the initial scientific results. PASJ44:L41–L44
- [145] Okamoto TJ, Tsuneta S, Lites BW, et al. (2008) Emergence of a Helical Flux Rope under an Active Region Prominence. ApJL673(2):L215
- [146] Okamoto TJ, Tsuneta S, Lites BW, et al. (2009) Prominence Formation Associated with an Emerging Helical Flux Rope. ApJ 697(1):913–922
- [147] Pallavicini R, Vaiana GS, Kahler SW, Krieger AS (1975) Spatial Structure and Temporal Development of a Solar X-Ray Flare Observed from Skylab on June 15, 1973. Sol. Phys. 45(2):411–433
- [148] Pallavicini R, Serio S, Vaiana GS (1977) A survey of soft X-ray limb flare images: the relation between their structure in the corona and other physical parameters. ApJ 216:108–122
- [149] Paraschiv AR, Bemporad A, Sterling AC (2015) Physical properties of solar polar jets. A statistical study with Hinode XRT data. A&A579:A96
- [150] Park Sh, Chae J, Wang H (2010) Productivity of Solar Flares and Magnetic Helicity Injection in Active Regions. ApJ 718(1):43–51
- [151] Parker EN (1988) Nanoflares and the solar X-ray corona. ApJ 330:474-479

- [152] Parnell CE, De Moortel I (2012) A contemporary view of coronal heating. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London Series A 370(1970):3217–3240
- [153] Penz T, Micela G, Lammer H (2008) Influence of the evolving stellar X-ray luminosity distribution on exoplanetary mass loss. A&A477(1):309–314
- [154] Peres G, Reale F, Serio S, Pallavicini R (1987) Hydrodynamic flare modeling
  Comparison of numerical calculations with SMM observations of the 1980 November 12 17:00 UT flare. ApJ 312:895–908
- [155] Peres G, Orlando S, Reale F (2004) Are Coronae of Late Type Stars Made of Solar-Like Structures? The  $F_X$ -HR Diagram and the Pressure-Temperature Correlation. ApJ 612:472–480
- [156] Peter H (2015) What can large-scale magnetohydrodynamic numerical experiments tell us about coronal heating? Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London Series A 373(2042):20150055–20150055
- [157] Petralia A, Reale F, Testa P, Del Zanna G (2014) Thermal structure of a hot non-flaring corona from Hinode/EIS. A&A564:A3
- [158] Petrie GJD (2015) Solar Magnetism in the Polar Regions. Living Reviews in Solar Physics 12(1):5,
- [159] Pevtsov AA, Fisher GH, Acton LW, et al. (2003) The Relationship Between X-Ray Radiance and Magnetic Flux. ApJ 598:1387–1391
- [160] Phillips KJH, Fawcett BC, Kent BJ, et al. (1982) Solar flare X-ray spectra from the Solar Maximum Mission Flat Crystal Spectrometer. ApJ 256:774– 787
- [161] Polito V, Galan G, Reeves KK, Musset S (2018) Possible Signatures of a Termination Shock in the 2014 March 29 X-class Flare Observed by IRIS. ApJ 865(2):161
- [162] Polito V, Testa P, Allred J, et al. (2018) Investigating the Response of Loop Plasma to Nanoflare Heating Using RADYN Simulations. ApJ 856(2):178
- [163] Polito V, Testa P, De Pontieu B (2019) Can the Superposition of Evaporative Flows Explain Broad Fe XXIÊProfiles during Solar Flares? ApJL879(2):L17
- [164] Priest ER, Forbes TG (2002) The magnetic nature of solar flares. A&A Rev. 10(4):313–377
- [165] Priest ER, Chitta LP, Syntelis P (2018) A Cancellation Nanoflare Model for Solar Chromospheric and Coronal Heating. ApJL862(2):L24
- [166] Qiu J, Liu W, Hill N, Kazachenko M (2010) Reconnection and Energetics in Two-ribbon Flares: A Revisit of the Bastille-day Flare. ApJ 725(1):319–330
- [167] Raouafi NE, Patsourakos S, Pariat E, et al. (2016) Solar Coronal Jets: Observations, Theory, and Modeling. Space Science Reviews 201(1-4):1–53
- [168] Reale F (2007) Diagnostics of stellar flares from X-ray observations: from the decay to the rise phase. A&A471:271–279
- [169] Reale F (2014) Coronal Loops: Observations and Modeling of Confined Plasma. Living Reviews in Solar Physics 11(1):4
- [170] Reale F (2016) Plasma Sloshing in Pulse-heated Solar and Stellar Coronal Loops. ApJL826(2):L20

- [171] Reale F, Orlando S (2008) Nonequilibrium of Ionization and the Detection of Hot Plasma in Nanoflare-heated Coronal Loops. ApJ 684:715–724
- [172] Reale F, Betta R, Peres G, Serio S, McTiernan J (1997) Determination of the length of coronal loops from the decay of X-ray flares I. Solar flares observed with YOHKOH SXT. A&A325:782–790
- [173] Reale F, Peres G, Orlando S (2001) The Sun as an X-Ray Star. III. Flares. ApJ 557(2):906–920, DOI 10.1086/321598
- [174] Reale F, Testa P, Klimchuk JA, Parenti S (2009) Evidence of Widespread Hot Plasma in a Nonflaring Coronal Active Region from Hinode/X-Ray Telescope. ApJ 698:756–765
- [175] Reale F, Testa P, Petralia A, Graham DR (2019) Impulsive Coronal Heating from Large-scale Magnetic Rearrangements: From IRIS to SDO/AIA. ApJ 882(1):7
- [176] Reale F, Testa P, Petralia A, Kolotkov DY (2019) Large-amplitude Quasiperiodic Pulsations as Evidence of Impulsive Heating in Hot Transient Loop Systems Detected in the EUV with SDO/AIA. ApJ 884(2):131
- [177] Reeves KK (2018) Hinode Observations of Flows and Heating Associated with Magnetic Reconnection During Solar Flares. In: Shimizu T, Imada S, Kubo M (eds) First Ten Years of Hinode Solar On-Orbit Observatory, Astrophysics and Space Science Library, vol 449, p 135
- [178] Reeves KK, Golub L (2011) Atmospheric Imaging Assembly Observations of Hot Flare Plasma. ApJL727(2):L52
- [179] Reeves KK, Seaton DB, Forbes TG (2008) Field Line Shrinkage in Flares Observed by the X-Ray Telescope on Hinode. ApJ 675(1):868–874
- [180] Rempel M (2017) Extension of the MURaM Radiative MHD Code for Coronal Simulations. ApJ 834:10
- [181] Ribas I, Guinan EF, Güdel M, Audard M (2005) Evolution of the Solar Activity over Time and Effects on Planetary Atmospheres. I. High-Energy Irradiances (1-1700 Å). ApJ 622(1):680–694
- [182] Rosner R, Tucker WH, Vaiana GS (1978) Dynamics of the quiescent solar corona. ApJ 220:643–645
- [183] Russell PC (1965) Soft X-ray Image of the Sun. Nature 205(4972):684-685
- [184] Sako N, Shimojo M, Watanabe T, Sekii T (2013) A Statistical Study of Coronal Active Events in the North Polar Region. ApJ 775(1):22
- [185] Sanz-Forcada J, Micela G, Ribas I, et al. (2011) Estimation of the XUV radiation onto close planets and their evaporation. A&A532:A6
- [186] Savage SL, McKenzie DE, Reeves KK (2012) Re-interpretation of Supraarcade Downflows in Solar Flares. ApJL747(2):L40
- [187] Savcheva A, van Ballegooijen A (2009) Nonlinear Force-free Modeling of a Long-lasting Coronal Sigmoid. ApJ 703(2):1766–1777
- [188] Savcheva A, Cirtain J, Deluca EE, et al. (2007) A Study of Polar Jet Parameters Based on Hinode XRT Observations. PASJ59:S771
- [189] Savcheva A, Pariat E, van Ballegooijen A, Aulanier G, DeLuca E (2012) Sigmoidal Active Region on the Sun: Comparison of a Magnetohydrodynamical Simulation and a Nonlinear Force-free Field Model. ApJ 750(1):15

- [190] Schmelz JT, Saar SH, DeLuca EE, et al. (2009) Hinode X-Ray Telescope Detection of Hot Emission from Quiescent Active Regions: A Nanoflare Signature? ApJL693:L131–L135
- [191] Schrijver CJ (2007) A Characteristic Magnetic Field Pattern Associated with All Major Solar Flares and Its Use in Flare Forecasting. ApJL655(2):L117– L1205, DOI 10.1086/511857
- [192] Schrijver CJ, De Rosa ML, Title AM, Metcalf TR (2005) The Nonpotentiality of Active-Region Coronae and the Dynamics of the Photospheric Magnetic Field. ApJ 628(1):501–513
- [193] Serio S, Reale F, Jakimiec J, Sylwester B, Sylwester J (1991) Dynamics of flaring loops. I - Thermodynamic decay scaling laws. A&A241:197–202
- [194] Shi M, Li B, Huang Z, Chen SX (2019) Synthetic Emissions of the Fe XXI 1354 Å Line from Flare Loops Experiencing Fundamental Fast Sausage Oscillations. ApJ 874(1):87
- [195] Shibata K, Magara T (2011) Solar Flares: Magnetohydrodynamic Processes. Living Reviews in Solar Physics 8(1):6
- [196] Shibata K, Masuda S, Shimojo M, et al. (1995) Hot-Plasma Ejections Associated with Compact-Loop Solar Flares. ApJL451:L83
- [197] Shimizu T, Imada S, Kawate T, et al. (2019) The Solar-C\_EUVST mission. In: UV, X-Ray, and Gamma-Ray Space Instrumentation for Astronomy XXI, Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series, vol 11118, p 1111807
- [198] Shimojo M, Narukage N, Kano R, et al. (2007) Fine Structures of Solar X-Ray Jets Observed with the X-Ray Telescope aboard Hinode. PASJ59:S745
- [199] Sobel'Man II, Zhitnik IA, Ignat'ev AP, et al. (1996) X-ray spectroscopy of the Sun in the 0.84-30.4 nm band in the TEREK-K and RES-K experiments on the KORONAS-I satellite. Astronomy Letters 22(4):539–554
- [200] Somov BV, Kosugi T, Hudson HS, Sakao T, Masuda S (2002) Magnetic Reconnection Scenario of the Bastille Day 2000 Flare. ApJ 579(2):863–873
- [201] Strong KT, Harvey K, Hirayama T, Nitta N, Shimizu T, Tsuneta S (1992) Observations of the Variability of Coronal Bright Points by the Soft X-Ray Telescope on YOHKOH. PASJ44:L161–L166
- [202] Su Y, van Ballegooijen A, Lites BW, et al. (2009) Observations and Nonlinear Force-Free Field Modeling of Active Region 10953. ApJ 691(1):105–114
- [203] Sylwester B, Sylwester J, Serio S, Reale F, Bentley RD, Fludra A (1993) Dynamics of flaring loops. III - Interpretation of flare evolution in the emission measure-temperature diagram. A&A267(2):586–594
- [204] Sylwester B, Sylwester J, Phillips KJH (2010) Soft X-ray coronal spectra at low activity levels observed by RESIK. A&A514:A82
- [205] Sylwester J, Sylwester B, Phillips KJH, Kepa A, Rapley CG (2020) A Unique Resource for Solar Flare Diagnostic Studies: The SMM Bent Crystal Spectrometer. ApJ 894(2):137
- [206] Temmer M (2021) Space weather: the solar perspective. Living Reviews in Solar Physics 18(1):4

- [207] Terzo S, Reale F, Miceli M, Klimchuk JA, Kano R, Tsuneta S (2011) Widespread Nanoflare Variability Detected with Hinode/X-Ray Telescope in a Solar Active Region. ApJ 736(2):111
- [208] Testa P (2010) Element Abundances in X-ray Emitting Plasmas in Stars. Space Science Reviews pp 130-+
- [209] Testa P (2010) X-ray emission processes in stars and their immediate environment. Proceedings of the National Academy of Science 107:7158–7163
- [210] Testa P, Reale F (2012) Hinode/EIS Spectroscopic Validation of Very Hot Plasma Imaged with the Solar Dynamics Observatory in Non-flaring Active Region Cores. ApJL750(1):L105, DOI 10.1088/2041-8205/750/1/L10,
- [211] Testa P, Reale F (2020) On the Coronal Temperature in Solar Microflares. ApJ 902(1):31
- [212] Testa P, Peres G, Reale F (2005) Emission Measure Distribution in Loops Impulsively Heated at the Footpoints. ApJ 622:695–703
- [213] Testa P, Reale F, Landi E, DeLuca EE, Kashyap V (2011) Temperature Distribution of a Non-flaring Active Region from Simultaneous Hinode XRT and EIS Observations. ApJ 728:30-+
- [214] Testa P, De Pontieu B, Martínez-Sykora J, Hansteen V, Carlsson M (2012) Investigating the Reliability of Coronal Emission Measure Distribution Diagnostics using Three-dimensional Radiative Magnetohydrodynamic Simulations. ApJ 758:54
- [215] Testa P, De Pontieu B, Martínez-Sykora J, et al. (2013) Observing Coronal Nanoflares in Active Region Moss. ApJL770:L1
- [216] Testa P, De Pontieu B, Allred J, et al. (2014) Evidence of nonthermal particles in coronal loops heated impulsively by nanoflares. Science 346(6207):1255724
- [217] Testa P, Saar SH, Drake JJ (2015) Stellar activity and coronal heating: an overview of recent results. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London Series A 373:20140259–20140259
- [218] Testa P, Polito V, De Pontieu B (2020) IRIS Observations of Short-term Variability in Moss Associated with Transient Hot Coronal Loops. ApJ 889(2):124
- [219] Timothy AF, Krieger AS, Vaiana GS (1975) The Structure and Evolution of Coronal Holes. Sol. Phys. 42(1):135–156
- [220] Tripathi D, Mason HE, Del Zanna G, Young PR (2010) Active region moss. Basic physical parameters and their temporal variation. A&A518:A42
- [221] Tsuneta S, Acton L, Bruner M, et al. (1991) The Soft X-ray Telescope for the SOLAR-A mission. Sol. Phys. 136(1):37–67
- [222] Tsuneta S, Masuda S, Kosugi T, Sato J (1997) Hot and Superhot Plasmas above an Impulsive Flare Loop. ApJ 478(2):787–798
- [223] Ugarte-Urra I, Warren HP (2014) Determining Heating Timescales in Solar Active Region Cores from AIA/SDO Fe XVIII Images. ApJ 783(1):12
- [224] Ugarte-Urra I, Winebarger AR, Warren HP (2006) An Investigation into the Variability of Heating in a Solar Active Region. ApJ 643(2):1245–1257

40

The Solar X-ray Corona

- [225] Ugarte-Urra I, Warren HP, Brooks DH (2009) Active Region Transition Region Loop Populations and Their Relationship to the Corona. ApJ 695(1):642–651
- [226] Ugarte-Urra I, Crump NA, Warren HP, Wiegelmann T (2019) The Magnetic Properties of Heating Events on High-temperature Active-region Loops. ApJ 877(2):129
- [227] Upendran V, Tripathi D (2021) On the Impulsive Heating of Quiet Solar Corona. ApJ 916(1):59
- [228] Vaiana GS, Reidy WP, Zehnpfennig T, van Speybroeck L, Giacconi R (1968) X-ray Structures of the Sun during the Importance 1N Flare of 8 June 1968. Science 161:564–567
- [229] Vaiana GS, Davis JM, Giacconi R, Krieger AS, Silk JK, Timothy AF, Zombeck M (1973) X-Ray Observations of Characteristic Structures and Time Variations from the Solar Corona: Preliminary Results from SKYLAB. ApJL185:L47
- [230] Vaiana GS, Krieger AS, Timothy AF (1973) Identification and Analysis of Structures in the Corona from X-Ray Photography. Sol. Phys. 32(1):81–116
- [231] Vaiana GS, Krieger AS, Timothy AF, Zombeck M (1976) ATM Observations, X-Ray Results. Astrophysics and Space Science 39(1):75–101
- [232] Vaiana GS, van Speybroeck L, Zombeck MV, Krieger AS, Silk JK, Timothy A (1977) The S-054 X-ray telescope experiment on Skylab. Space Science Instrumentation 3:19–76
- [233] van Ballegooijen AA, Asgari-Targhi M, Cranmer SR, DeLuca EE (2011) Heating of the Solar Chromosphere and Corona by Alfvén Wave Turbulence. ApJ 736:3
- [234] van Ballegooijen AA, Asgari-Targhi M, Voss A (2017) The Heating of Solar Coronal Loops by Alfvén Wave Turbulence. ApJ 849(1):46
- [235] van Driel-Gesztelyi L, Green LM (2015) Evolution of Active Regions. Living Reviews in Solar Physics 12(1):1
- [236] Velli M, Pucci F, Rappazzo F, Tenerani A (2015) Models of coronal heating, turbulence and fast reconnection. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London Series A 373(2042):20140262–20140262
- [237] Viall NM, Klimchuk JA (2011) Patterns of Nanoflare Storm Heating Exhibited by an Active Region Observed with Solar Dynamics Observatory/Atmospheric Imaging Assembly. ApJ 738(1):24
- [238] Viall NM, Klimchuk JA (2017) A Survey of Nanoflare Properties in Active Regions Observed with the Solar Dynamics Observatory. ApJ 842(2):108
- [239] Vievering JT, Glesener L, Athiray PS, et al. (2021) FOXSI-2 Solar Microflares. II. Hard X-ray Imaging Spectroscopy and Flare Energetics. ApJ 913(1):15
- [240] von Steiger R, Schweingruber RFW, Geiss J, Gloeckler G (1995) Abundance variations in the solar wind. Advances in Space Research 15(7):3–12
- [241] Warren HP, Winebarger AR, Brooks DH (2012) A Systematic Survey of High-temperature Emission in Solar Active Regions. ApJ 759:141

- [242] Warren HP, Mariska JT, Doschek GA (2013) Observations of Thermal Flare Plasma with the EUV Variability Experiment. ApJ 770(2):116
- [243] Warren HP, Brooks DH, Doschek GA, Feldman U (2016) Transition Region Abundance Measurements During Impulsive Heating Events. ApJ 824(1):56
- [244] Watanabe K, Masuda S, Segawa T (2012) Hinode Flare Catalogue. Sol. Phys. 279(1):317–322
- [245] Webb DF, Howard TA (2012) Coronal Mass Ejections: Observations. Living Reviews in Solar Physics 9(1):3
- [246] Widing KG, Feldman U (2001) On the Rate of Abundance Modifications versus Time in Active Region Plasmas. ApJ 555:426–434
- [247] Wiegelmann T, Sakurai T (2012) Solar Force-free Magnetic Fields. Living Reviews in Solar Physics 9(1):5
- [248] Williams T, Walsh RW, Winebarger AR, et al. (2020) Is the High-Resolution Coronal Imager Resolving Coronal Strands? Results from AR 12712. ApJ 892(2):134
- [249] Winebarger AR, Warren HP, Schmelz JT, et al. (2012) Defining the "Blind Spot" of Hinode EIS and XRT Temperature Measurements. ApJL746(2):L17
- [250] Wright PJ, Hannah IG, Grefenstette BW, et al. (2017) Microflare Heating of a Solar Active Region Observed with NuSTAR, Hinode/XRT, and SDO/AIA. ApJ 844(2):132
- [251] Yamashiki YA, Maehara H, Airapetian V, et al. (2019) Impact of Stellar Superflares on Planetary Habitability. ApJ 881(2):114
- [252] Young PR, Tian H, Jaeggli S (2015) The 2014 March 29 X-flare: Subarcsecond Resolution Observations of Fe XXI λ1354.1. ApJ 799:218
- [253] Yu HS, Jackson BV, Buffington A, Hick PP, Shimojo M, Sako N (2014) The Three-dimensional Analysis of Hinode Polar Jets using Images from LASCO C2, the Stereo COR2 Coronagraphs, and SMEI. ApJ 784(2):166
- [254] Zender JJ, Kariyappa R, Giono G, et al. (2017) Segmentation of photospheric magnetic elements corresponding to coronal features to understand the EUV and UV irradiance variability. A&A605:A41
- [255] Zhang YX, Fang C, Ding MD, Livingston WC (2001) Quiet-Sun Variability In a Temperature Minimum Region. ApJL547(2):L179–L182
- [256] Zhitnik I, Kuzin S, Afanas'ev A, et al. (2003) XUV observations of solar corona in the spirit experiment on board the coronas-F satellite. Advances in Space Research 32(4):473–477
- [257] Zimovets IV, McLaughlin JA, Srivastava AK, et al. (2021) Quasi-Periodic Pulsations in Solar and Stellar Flares: A Review of Underpinning Physical Mechanisms and Their Predicted Observational Signatures. Space Science Reviews 217(5):66
- [258] Zirin H, Wang H (1990) Flows, flares, and formation of umbrae and light bridges in BBSO region No. 1167. Sol. Phys. 125(1):45–60
- [259] Zurbuchen TH, Fisk LA, Gloeckler G, von Steiger R (2002) The solar wind composition throughout the solar cycle: A continuum of dynamic states. GRL29(9):1352